

Clause linking in Japhug*

Guillaume Jacques

CNRS (CRLAO) – INALCO

This paper presents a detailed description of clause linking in Japhug, based on a corpus of traditional narratives and conversations. It follows the methodology used in Dixon and Aikhenvald's (2009) collective book on this topic, to ease crosslinguistic comparisons. Although Japhug has a very rich system of converbs, there is not a single meaning that requires a non-finite form: all subtypes of clause linking can be expressed exclusively with finite verb forms, and these indeed predominate in our corpus.

Keywords: clause linking, conditional, counterfactual, purposive, tense, relative time

1. Introduction

This paper deals with clause linking in Japhug Rgyalrong. Although this topic has been summarily treated in previous publications (Jacques 2008:317–325), the present work is based on a considerably larger corpus, which comprises about 50 hours of narratives and one hour of conversations. Elicited examples are only used when no attestation of a particular construction can be found in the texts.

In addition to richer data, this paper benefits from the descriptive framework and terminology provided by Dixon and Aikhenvald (2009). Their classification of clause linking subtypes is semantically based, and allows a detailed description

* The glosses follow the Leipzig glossing rules. Other abbreviations used here are: AUTO auto-benefactive-spontaneous, ANTICAUS anticausative, ANTIPASS antipassive, APPL applicative, DEM demonstrative, DIST distal, EMPH emphatic, FACT factual, GENR generic, INDEF indefinite, INV inverse, LNK linker, MC main clause, PFV perfective, POSS possessor, SC subordinate clause, SFP sentence final particle, TESTIM testimonial. I would like to thank Alec Coupe, Scott DeLancey, Graham Thurgood and two anonymous reviewers for valuable comments and suggestions on previous versions of this article. This research has been funded by the ANR 12-CORP-0006 Himalco project.

of all competing constructions available for expressing a particular meaning in the target language, and the semantic differences between them.¹

Dixon and Aikhenvald's approach to clause linking is all the more relevant to the present work in that two out of the 15 languages in their sample, Galo and Kham (Post 2009 and Watters 2009), belong to the Sino-Tibetan family, and thus allow family-internal typological comparisons.

In this paper, we first present background information on Japhug Rgyalrong verbal morphology, as well as on other elements involved in clause linking, such as postpositions, relator nouns and linkers. Then, we devote a section on each of the five major categories of clause linkings distinguished by Dixon (2009): Temporal (including Conditional), Consequence, Addition, Alternative and Manner linking.

2. Background information

In this section, we present general information on TAM marking in Japhug, linkers, relator nouns and postpositions which are necessary to understand the data presented in the body of the paper, as coordination and subordination are marked by specific verb forms and / or by independent subordinating or coordinating markers.

2.1 TAM marking in Japhug

Since subordinating and coordinating constructions in Japhug often select specific TAM categories, a detailed list of all TAM categories is a necessary preliminary to the description of clause linkings.

In this section, we first describe the building blocks of TAM marking (directional prefixes and stem alternation) and then present an inventory of the available TAM categories (both finite and non finite).

1. However, following the suggestion of an anonymous reviewer, we avoid Dixon's *supporting* vs *focal* clause whose definition is not entirely explicit (Dixon 2009: 2–5) and keep the more common terms 'subordinate clause' and 'main clause' instead, except for the constructions where there is no syntactic or morphological evidence for postulating a subordinating relationship. In the examples, the subordinate clause is indicated between square brackets, without including the postposition, relator noun or linker.

2.1.1 Directional prefixes

Most verbal forms in Japhug have a directional prefix that contains information on TAM, transitivity and (in the case of motion and concrete action verbs) the direction of the action.

With the exception of contracting verbs whose stem starts in *a-* and which present special alternations (see Jacques and Chen 2007 for more information), Japhug intransitive verbs have three series of prefixes (A, B and D) and transitive ones four series, as shown in Table 1. The distribution of these four series will be explained in more detail in Section 2.1.3.

Table 1. Directional prefixes in Japhug Rgyalrong

	perfective (A)	imperfective (B)	perfective 3 → 3' (C)	evidential (D)
up	<i>ty-</i>	<i>tu-</i>	<i>ta-</i>	<i>to-</i>
down	<i>pu-</i>	<i>pju-</i>	<i>pa-</i>	<i>pjy-</i>
upstream	<i>ly-</i>	<i>lu-</i>	<i>la-</i>	<i>lo-</i>
downstream	<i>t^hu-</i>	<i>c^hu-</i>	<i>t^ha-</i>	<i>c^hy-</i>
east	<i>ky-</i>	<i>ku-</i>	<i>ka-</i>	<i>ko-</i>
west	<i>nu-</i>	<i>nu-</i>	<i>na-</i>	<i>ny-</i>
no direction	<i>gy-</i>	<i>ju-</i>	<i>ja-</i>	<i>jo-</i>

Most verbs have one intrinsic direction which is lexically determined. For instance, the verb *sat* ‘kill’ selects the direction ‘down’ for all its forms: **perfective** 1SG→3SG *pu-sat-a*, **imperfective** *pju-sat*, **perfective** 3SG→3' *pa-sat* and **evidential** *pjy-sat*.

Some verbs may allow several directions with slightly different semantics. Thus, *ndza* ‘eat’ normally selects the ‘up’ direction (**perfective** 3SG→3' *ta-ndza* ‘he ate it’), but when applied to carnivorous animals we also find the ‘downstream’ direction. This can lead to further aspectual distinctions. For instance, the direction ‘downstream’, when used with stative verbs, indicates a progressive development. Footnote (10) discusses the use of different directional prefixes with the existential copula *me*.

Verbs of motion and some verbs of concrete action can be associated with all seven series of prefixes to indicate the direction of the motion. The ‘no direction’ series of prefixes only occurs with motion verbs.

Only three verbs have defective paradigms and never occur with directional prefixes: the sensory existential copulas *yzu* ‘exist’ and *maje* ‘not exist’ and the verb *kvtupa* ‘speak’ (see the paradigm of the latter in Jacques 2012: 1215).

2.1.2 Stem alternation

The existence of stem alternations in Rgyalrong was first reported by Sun (2000), who proposes to distinguish three stems: the base stem (stem 1), the perfective stem (stem 2) and the non stem (stem 3). Some varieties of Zbu Rgyalrong appear to have an additional progressive stem distinct from stem 2 in the progressive form (Jacques 2004: 352).

In Kamnyu Japhug, only four verbs have a perfective stem distinct from the base stem; the list is provided in Table 2.

Stem 3 on the other hand is fully productive. The rules of vowel alternation in Table 3 apply to all finite transitive verbs in the forms 1SG→3, 2SG→3 and 3SG→3'; stem 3 does not appear in verb forms with the inverse marker (see Gong 2014). Jacques (2004: 351–7) provides a historical analysis of these alternations, and shows that they result from the fusion of the verb stem with two suffixes.

Table 2. Stem 2 alternation in Japhug Rgyalrong

Stem 1	meaning	Stem 2
<i>ce</i>	to go (vi)	<i>ari</i>
<i>suuxce</i>	to sent (vt)	<i>syri</i>
<i>yi</i>	to come (vi)	<i>ye</i>
<i>ti</i>	to say (vt)	<i>tuut</i>

Table 3. Stem 3 alternation in Japhug Rgyalrong

Stem 1	Stem 3
<i>-a</i>	<i>-e</i>
<i>-u</i>	<i>-e</i>
<i>-uu</i>	<i>-i</i>
<i>-o</i>	<i>-ym</i>

Following the Leipzig glossing rules, we indicate stem 2 as [II] and stem 3 as [III] in the glosses in this paper.

2.1.3 Finite TAM categories

There are nine basic finite TAM categories in Japhug, as represented in Table 4. All finite forms except the factual require one and only one directional prefix. All forms can be correctly produced by combining the appropriate derivational prefixes and stems.²

2. For the TAM categories requiring stem 3, it is restricted to 1SG→3, 2SG→3 and 3SG→3' forms; all other forms take the base stem. The person affixes and the past transitive *-t* suffix are not

In the case of past imperfective *puu-*, evidential imperfective *pjv-*, testimonial *nuu-* and present *ku-*, the direction that is lexically selected by the verb is neutralized. Note that the past imperfective marker *puu-* is formally identical to the perfective *puu-* ‘down’ prefix, a feature found in all Rgyalrong languages (see Lin 2011).

The evidential and evidential imperfective forms are used with the circumfix *k...-ci* in the case of verb forms whose stem begins in *a-* (including verbs with the progressive *asuu-*).

In addition to the basic forms, there are periphrastic TAM categories combining one of the nine categories with the copulas (*ɲu* ‘be’ and *maʁ* ‘not be’).

Table 4. Finite verb categories in Japhug Rgyalrong

		stem	prefixes
factual	FACT	1 or 3	no prefix
imperfective	IPFV	1 or 3	B
perfective	PFV	2	A or C
past imperfective	PST.IPFV	2	<i>puu-</i>
evidential	EVD	1	D
evidential imperfective	EVD.IPFV	1	<i>pjv-</i>
testimonial	TESTIM	1 or 3	<i>nuu-</i>
present	PRES	1 or 3	<i>ku-</i>
irrealis	IRR	1 or 3	<i>a- + A</i>
imperative	IMP	1 or 3	A

The past imperfective and evidential imperfective forms cannot be used with most dynamic verbs,³ except in several types of conditionals, in particular counterfactuals (see (3.3.2) and (3.3.5)) and in combination with the progressive *asuu-*. Periphrastic past imperfective and evidential imperfective (combining a verb in the imperfective form with the copula *ɲu* ‘be’ in the past imperfective *puu-ɲu* or evidential *pjv-ɲu*) are used in all other contexts with dynamic verbs. Example (1) illustrates the use of the non-periphrastic past imperfective with the stative verb *xtçi* ‘be small’ contrasting with the periphrastic form of the dynamic verbs *sqa* ‘cook’ and *lvt* ‘throw, pour’.⁴

discussed here; for more information on this topic, see Jacques (2010).

3. See Lin (2011) for a study of the past imperfective in Rgyalrong languages.

4. Note also that the auxiliary only appears after the last verb in the past imperfective, see Section 3.1.

Table 5. Examples of the perfective converb *tuu-*

	stem	meaning	imperfective (2SG)	perfective converb
intransitive	<i>sci</i>	to be born (vi)	<i>c^huu-tuu-sci</i>	<i>c^huu-tuu-sci</i>
	<i>ce</i>	to go (vi)	<i>ju-tuu-ce</i>	<i>ju-tuu-ce</i>
transitive	<i>ts^hi</i>	to drink (vt)	<i>ku-tuu-ts^hi</i>	<i>ku-tuu-ts^hi</i>
	<i>ndza</i>	to eat (vt)	<i>tu-tuu-ndze</i>	<i>tu-tuu-ndza</i>
	<i>mto</i>	to see (vt)	<i>pjuu-tuu-mtvm</i>	<i>pjuu-tuu-mto</i>

It is a paradox that a *perfective* converb is not marked by the perfective stem (stem 2) or by perfective directional prefixes, but receives imperfective markers. This complex question, which probably can only receive a historical answer, will not be discussed in this paper.

The **gerund** expresses that the event in the subordinate clause occurs at the same time as that of the main clause (3.2.3). It is formed by combining a prefix *sv-* with the partially reduplicated verb stem (only the last syllable is reduplicated), as the verb *mtsuur* ‘be hungry’ in example (2).

- (2) *kutçu sv-mtsui~mtsuur ku-rvzit-a tçe, jisni ndv*
 here GERUND-be.hungry IPFV-remain-1SG LNK today however
tumuukumpci kuu puú-wy-nuu-mbi-a çti
 heavens ERG PFV:DOWN-INV-AUTO-give-1SG be.AFFIRM:FACT
 I am very hungry here, but heavens have sent it (down) for me (to eat).
 (Slobdpon 253)

The prefix *sv-* has an allomorph *svz-* before sonorant derivation prefixes. In the case of verbs that already have a reduplicated stem, such as *nuuqambumbjom* ‘to fly’,⁶ no further reduplication occurs in the gerund formation. Reduplication of the last syllable of the verb stem is not sensitive to morpheme boundaries. Thus, the verb *nuuy-mu* ‘to be afraid of’ has the applicative prefix *nuuy-*, but the *y* part of the prefix participates in the reduplicated form *svz-nuuymuu~ymu* ‘while being afraid of it’.

6. The root *mbjom*, which cannot occur independently with the meaning ‘fly’, is partially reduplicated as *mbuu~mbjom* with additional prefixes.

Table 6. Examples of the gerund *sv*-

stem	meaning	gerund
<i>yɣwu</i>	cry (vi)	<i>svz-yɣwu~wu</i> GERUND-cry
<i>nuundzuhlɣuz</i>	be sleepy (vi)	<i>sv-nuundzuhlɣu~lɣuz</i> GERUND-be.sleepy
<i>nuymu</i>	be afraid of (vt)	<i>svz-nuymu~ymu</i> GERUND-be.afraid.of
<i>nuuqambuɓjom</i>	fly (vi)	<i>sv-nuuqambuɓjom</i> GERUND-fly

The **purposive** converb, like the gerund, is formed by combining a *sv*- prefix with the reduplicated stem of the verb; it differs from it in that it also requires a possessive prefix and the imperfective directional prefix. The possessive prefix can be coreferent to either S, P or A: in the case of transitive verbs this form is ambiguous. The purposive converb most commonly occurs in the negative, meaning ‘in order not to X’, and for this reason it is this form which is chosen as representative in Table 7.

Table 7. Examples of the purposive converb *sv*-

stem	meaning	purposive converb (3SG negative form)	meaning
<i>jmuut</i>	to forget (vt)	<i>u-mɣ-juu-sv-jmuu~jmuut</i> 3SG-NEG-IPFV-PURP-forget	in order not to forget
<i>ɓndu</i>	to hit (vt)	<i>u-mɣ-tu-sv-ɓndu~ɓndu</i> 3SG-NEG-IPFV-PURP-hit	in order not to be beaten / not to beat
<i>aɕq^he</i>	to cough (vi)	<i>u-mɣ-tu-sv-ɣɕq^hu~ɕq^he</i> 3SG-NEG-IPFV-PURP-cough	in order not to cough

Other forms of the purposive converb are presented in Section 4.2, including affirmative forms and forms with other personal prefixes.

The **infinitive** form is the base stem of the verb prefixed with the *ky*- (for dynamic verbs) or *ku*- (for stative and non-animate intransitives). This form can be prefixed with the negative *mɣ*- and in the case of transitive verbs with a possessive prefix coreferent with the P. The infinitive mainly occurs in complement clauses and in citation form, but it can also be used as a converb for the Manner (Section 7) and Purposive (Section 4.2) linkings.

All converbial prefixes are historically probably derived from nominalizations. As described in Jacques (2014, under review), we find a series of four prefixes for nominalizations in Japhug: *ku-* for S/A argument, *ky-* for P argument, *sy-* for oblique arguments (including instrument, place and time) and *tu-* for action nominalization. The infinitive is likely to originate from core argument nominalization prefixes *ku-* and *ky-*, the immediate precedence converb from the action nominalization prefix and the purposive and gerund from the oblique nominalization prefix.

The details of the grammaticalization pathway from nominalization to converb cannot be fully analyzed by investigating only Japhug data, and require a comparative study that goes beyond the scope of this paper. Nevertheless, we do find ambiguous sentences where a particular form could be either analyzed as the infinitive or as a nominalization, such as (165) or (166) in Section 7.

Example (3) shows an oblique instrument nominalization *sy-χtci* ‘cleaner’ inside of a relative clause. The direct object of the main verb *nuí-wy-nuu-p^hut* is *yzuut^huz* ‘Selaginella’, and the nominalized relative clause *tut^hu sy-χtci* is an adjunct (without case marking) that should be understood as ‘(as) a pan cleaner’. This type of relative clause used as adjunct could easily be reanalyzed as a purposive converb ‘people would unroot it in order to clean pans’.

- (3) *yzuut^huz nu kuɕuŋgu tɕe [tut^hu sy-χtci]*
 Selaginella DEM in.the.past LNK pan NMZL:OBLIQUE-wash
nuí-wy-nuu-p^hut puu-ŋgrɿ
 IPFV-INV-AUTO-unroot PST.IPFV-be.usually.the.case
 In the past, people would unroot *Selaginella* (to use as) a pan cleaner.
 (Selaginella, 106)

This type of ambiguous constructions are perhaps the pivot forms which allowed reanalysis from nominalized verb to converb. This type of reanalysis following the pathway in (4) has been described in several Sino-Tibetan languages (see for instance Coupe 2007) and is widely attested in various language families (Epps 2009).

- (4) NMLZ ⇒ RELATIVIZATION ⇒ CONVERB

A trace of the nominal origin of converb is the fact that they can be used with the ergative *ku* in some contexts, as in example 75 below.

2.2 Postpositions

Apart from specific verbal forms, the markers of clause linking include post-position, relator nouns and linkers.

Postpositions are a closed class of markers that appear after a noun phrase or a clause. The noun phrase/clause and the postposition constitute a postpositional phrase, of which the postposition is the head. They differ from relator nouns, which must bear a possessive prefix and are treated in Section 2.3.

The postpositional phrases headed by the ergative/instrumental *kuu*, comitative *c^ho*, genitive *yuu* and locatives⁷ *zuu*, *ri* and *tçu* can be relativized (Jacques under review). In the following these postpositions will be referred to as *core postpositions*.

Relativization of these phrases involves a nominalized verb in the relative with the prefixes *kuu-* (for the A marked with the ergative) or *sv-* (for all the other ones, including the instrumental). Some verbs such as *amuumi* ‘be on good terms’ or *naχtcuuy* ‘be similar’ select a postpositional phrase with *c^ho*.

Example (5) illustrates this use of *c^ho* as well as a relativized postpositional phrase in *c^ho*.

- (5) *tçe* [*užo u-sv-vmuumi*] *nuu*
 LNK it 3SG-NMLZ:OBLIQUE-be.on.good.terms DEM
dyn ma ca kuu-fse qazo
 be.many:FACT because water.deer NMLZ:S-be.like sheep
kuu-fse, ts^hyt kuu-fse, užo c^ho
 NMLZ:S-be.like goat NMLZ:S-be.like it COMIT
kuu-naχtcuuy sujno, xçyj ma mv-kuu-ndza nuu
 NMLZ:S-be.identical herbs grass apart.from NEG-NMLZ:A-eat DEM
ra c^ho nuu amuumi-nuu tçe,
 PL with DEM be.on.good.terms:FACT-PL LNK

The (animals) that are on good terms with the rabbit are many, it is in good terms with those that only eat grass, like water deer, sheep or goats. (Rabbit, 33–4)

Of the core postpositions enumerated above, only the genitive *yuu* is never used in clause linking.

Temporal postpositions are only found after noun phrases (6), pronouns (7) or temporal relator nouns (example (8)). They include *çanpçi* ‘since’, *mvçtša* ‘until’, *çuηguu* ‘before’, *jvzny* ‘at the time when’, *çuumuuma* ‘immediately after’ and *kóvmtuz* ‘only then, only after’.

- (6) *tçe saχsu çuηguu puu-nuu-rvzi-j, ts^ha ky-ts^hi-j*
 LNK lunch before PST.IPFV-AUTO-stay-1PL tea PFV-drink-1PL
 We stayed there before lunchtime, and we had breakfast. (Dpalcan story 1, 15)

7. The locative *tçu* is not restricted to spatial reference, but can also be used for temporal reference.

- (7) *azo ɕuŋgu a-pi ra atu ryzi-nuu tɕe,*
 1SG before 1SG.POSS-elder.sibling PL up.there stay:FACT-PL LNK
nunu ra yuu nuu-rmi ty-z-myke q^he,
 DEM PL GEN 3PL.POSS-name IMP-CAUS-be.first[III] LNK
 Before me, (choose) first names for my elder brothers, who are stay-ing up
 there. (Gesar, 123)

- (8) *nuu u-q^hu ɕaŋpɕi zo u-ŋgu*
 DEM 3SG-after from EMPH 3SG.POSS-well.off.family
puu-t^hon ky-ti juu-ŋu ja
 PST.IPFV-have.a.well.off.family NMLZ:P-say TESTIM-be SFP
 From that time on, their family was prosperous. (Divination3, 66)

Apart from the core postposition and the temporal postpositions, we find the postposition *ma* (or *muuma*) ‘apart from’ whose postpositional phrases cannot be relativized. It can also appear after pronouns (9), noun phrases and clauses.

- (9) *uu-ye uu-ruuz yyzu*
 3SG.POSS-grandson 3SG.POSS-supernatural.ability exist:SENSORY
uu-kuu-ti nyzo ma me tɕe
 3SG-NMLZ:S/A-say 2SG apart.from exist:FACT LNK
 Nobody says that his grandson has supernatural abilities apart from you.
 (Nyima Wodzer2011, 144)

2.3 Relator nouns

Relator nouns are an open class of possessed nouns which, like postpositions, occur as the head of a postpositional phrase.

Relator nouns differ from postpositions and linkers in that they bear a obligatory possessive prefix coreferent with the preceding noun phrase (10).

In this section, we mark all examples of relator nouns with a preceding hyphen (as in *-ŋgu* ‘inside’ or *-q^hu* ‘after’) to indicate the presence of a possessive prefix.

- (10) *tɕe turgu kuu-wxti nuu ra nuu-ŋgu tu*
 LNK fir NMLZ:S/A-be.big DEM PL 3PL-inside exist:FACT
ma kuu-xtɕi nuu ra nuu-ŋgu me.
 apart.from NMLZ:S/A-be.small DEM PL 3PL-inside not.exist:FACT
 There are (fir mushrooms) among big firs, but there none among little ones.
 (Fir mushroom, 63)

Unlike postpositions, which require a preceding constituent (whether noun phrase or clause), relator nouns can stand on their own as in (11).

- (11) *a-q^hu nyzo stuusti nuu kuu-fse ky-ryzi my-tuu-c^ha*
 1SG-after 2SG alone DEM INF:STAT-be.like INF-stay NEG-2-can:FACT
 After I (die), you will not be able to stay like that. (The mute girl, 4)

When relator nouns take a clause rather than a noun phrase as their modifier, the possessive prefix is invariably the third singular *u-*. This is the situation observed in all instances of clause linking based on relator nouns in this paper.

Some relator nouns encode basic syntactic functions, e.g. the dative *-cki* and *-p^he⁸* and *-ts^hyt* ‘instead of’. Relator noun phrases with the dative as their head can be relativized, but the other ones cannot (Jacques under review).

- (12) *qusput tv-mbri u-q^hu ri tce, tce tuuy nuu-βze*
 cuckoo PFV-sing 3sg-after LOC LNK LNK poison IPFV-make[III]
ŋu tce nuu tcu tce ky-ndza my-sna
 be:FACT LNK DEM LOC LNK INF-eat NEG-be.worthy:FACT
tu-ti-nuu juu-ŋu.
 IPFV-say-PL TESTIM-be
 After the cuckoo has sung (after the period when cuckoo sing has started), it becomes poisonous and cannot be eaten, people say. (nettle, 33)

Most relator nouns have either a spatial or temporal meaning, as *-q^hu* ‘after (temporal or spatial)’, *-tak* ‘on’, *-pa* ‘under’, *-ŋguu* ‘inside, in, among’, *-k^huuk^ha* ‘while’, *-juuja* ‘while, along with’ and *-raŋ* ‘while’. The development of relators from concrete nouns is a very common grammaticalization pathway, especially in the Sino-Tibetan family (see for instance DeLancey 1997, Coupe 2007: 184).

The locative postpositions *ri* or *zuu* can follow these relator nouns as in (12) or (13), without a testable semantic difference. With *-ŋguu* the locative merges with the relator noun to become *-ŋguuz* (see an example in (17)).

- (13) *ts^hyt u-βruu yuu u-ci nunuu*
 goat 3SG.POSS-horn GEN 3SG.POSS-water DEM
z-lu-muurki-a ri a-q^hu zuu
 TRANSLOC-IPFV:UPSTREAM-steal[III]-1SG LNK 1SG-after LOC
ly-ye-nuu tce a-ty-tuu-ru tce,
 PFV:UPSTREAM-come[II]-PL LNK IRR-PFV:UP-2-look LNK
 I will go to steal the water from the goat’s horn, but when they come after me look up, (Stealing the water2, 30)

8. These two dative markers are semantically equivalent, but some speakers, within Kamnyu village, prefer one or the other.

Some markers such as *utɕʰuβ* ‘in order to’, while having the trace of a possessive prefix *u-* suggesting that they were relator nouns at an earlier stage, cannot be analyzed as such anymore as they only appear after clauses, not after noun phrases.

2.4 Linkers

Linkers are a diverse class of markers which cannot be classified as either postpositions or relator nouns. Some linkers are homophonous with postpositions, for instance the concessive *ri* with the locative *ri* and the causal *ma* ‘because’ with *ma* ‘apart from’.

Some linkers, such as *tɕe* ‘then’, *qʰe* ‘then’, *ndvɾe* ‘adversative’, *ri* ‘but’, *ma* ‘because’ can be phonologically anchored on either the preceding (example (14)) or the following phrase (15). The first option is the most common.

- (14) *ndzi-tɕuu ci tu ri*, PAUSE *ndzi-tɕuu nuu*
 3DU.POSS-SON INDEF exist:FACT LNK 3DU.POSS-SON DEM
kuɳɳ u-rzaβ na-nuu-ɕar qʰe,
 also 3SG.POSS-wife PFV:3→3'-AUTO-search LNK
 They have a son, but their son found himself a wife and... (Relatives,
 286–7)

- (15) *ɕkrɳ u-ŋuu kuɳɳ tu-kuu-ʈoɓ tu*. PAUSE
 oak 3SG-inside also IPFV-NMLZ:S/A-come.out exist:FACT
ri ɕkrɳ u-ŋuu nuu mɳ-dɳ.
 LNK oak 3SG-inside DEM NEG-be.many:FACT
 Some also grow among the oaks. However, those among the oaks are not
 many. (*zmbuulum* 38–39)

Others such as *bo* ‘adversative’, *tykʰa* ‘at the moment when’, *ɳɳ* ‘conditional’, *zo* ‘emphatic’ form a phonological constituent with the preceding group.

The linkers *tɕe* and *qʰe* ‘then’ can appear directly after a noun phrase or a relative clause, in which case they are topicalizers as in (16) and (17).

- (16) *ma nunuu paxɳɳ tɕe ɓuu-tuɳʰu tu*.
 LNK DEM ephedra LNK:TOP two-sorts exist:FACT
 There are two species (of plants) called *paxɳɳ*. (Ephedra, 93)
- (17) *nuu qajɳ, azo a-ky-suuz nuu tɕe, qajɳ nuu*
 DEM fish 1SG 1SG-NMLZ:P-know DEM LNK:TOP fish DEM
u-ŋuu-z tɕe qandzi ky-ti ci tu,
 3SG-inside-LOC LNK:TOP trout NMLZ:P-say INDEF exist:FACT
 <shibazi> *ky-ti ci tu*, <shigangqiar>
 name NMLZ:P-say INDEF exist:FACT name

ky-ti ci tu,
 NMLZ:P-say INDEF exist:FACT

The fishes, the ones that I know about, among the fishes, there is the trout,
 the shibazi, the shigangqiar... (Fishes, 160–3)

The linker *ny* is mostly restricted to conditionals (3.3) and to alternating or repeated action linkings (5.3). It also occurs with nouns and ideophones with a semantics very close to that of the repeated action linking.

The structure noun+*ny*+noun expresses an action which is repeated many times, or which presents a continuous progression or increase (example (18)). This construction is restricted to locative and temporal nouns.

- (18) *tab ny tab, tab ny tab tó-wy-tsum*
 up LNK up up LNK up EVD:UP-INV-take.away
 He was taken away, up and up. (Flood3, 21)

With ideophones, the same structure is also found and expresses a rhythmic atelic action as in (19) (see Jacques 2013b).

- (19) *ty-ŋke tce dzaŋ ny dzaŋ zo*
 PFV-walk LNK IDEO:long.and.thin LNK IDEO:long.and.thin EMPH
tu-ŋke nu-ŋu
 IPFV-walk TESTIM-be
 When it walks, it walks with (its neck) erected and moving up and down,
 long and thin. (Peacock, 56)

The semantics of the constructions found in examples (18) and (19) as well as the repeated action linking (5.3) present some of the the iconic functions of reduplication mentioned by Sapir (1921:76): repeated occurrence, increase in size and added intensity.

The emphatic linker *zo* occurs after stative verbs (in finite or non-finite forms), adverbs (expressing degree such as *wuma* ‘really, very’, quantity such as *t^hamtçyt* ‘all’ or place and time such as *awyndundyt* ‘everywhere’), ideophones and some clause linking types (especially Temporal and Manner linkings). It also occurs with any element followed by the verb *fse* ‘be like’.

The linker *zo* indicates a higher degree, greater intensity, frequency or quantity depending on the semantic nature of the preceding element. It cannot stand on its own and it marks the element preceding it as an adverbial modifier as an adverbial modifier of the following verb, except in the case of ideophones (which can appear, followed by *zo*, after the verb that they modify, see Jacques 2013b).

Finally, we find correlative linkers *tçi* and *ri* ‘also’ in the Elaboration linking (5.2), which are repeated after noun phrases in successive clauses; these noun phrases necessarily have the same syntactic function in each clause.

2.5 Other linking strategies

In addition to clause linking markers (postpositions, relators and linkers) and dedicated verbal morphology, several strategies are used to express linkage between clauses, and occur in various clause linking constructions.

2.5.1 Long-distance ergative

As a general rule, the converbial clauses are not only subordinate to the main clause, but are even embedded within it. When the verb of the main clause is transitive and requires an A marked with the ergative *kuu*, this ergative postpositional phrase appears before the converbial clause, as in (20) and (115) (the A is indicated in bold to ease parsing of the sentence).

- (20) *tvçime nunuu kuu* [*uu-qom sv-łuu-łob*] *kuu nuu*
 young.lady DEM ERG 3SG.POSS-tear GERUND-come.out ERG DEM
ra t^huu^hyci puu-kuu-fse ra lonba zo pjv-fçvt
 PL something PST.IPFV-NMLZ:S/A-be.like PL all EMPH EVD-tell
nuu-ŋu.
 TESTIM-be

The young lady told everything that had happened, while her tears were flowing. (Die Gänsemagd, adaptation, 202)

However, this type of embedding is not not restricted to converbial forms, and also commonly appears with various constructions, including even the temporal succession linking as in (21) and (160). This phenomenon is unexpected, as in such constructions the two clauses do not normally present evidence of a subordinating relationship

- (21) *tcendyre tvçime nuu kuu*, [*nuu ma uu-kypa*
 LNK young.lady DEM ERG DEM apart.from 3SG.POSS-method
pjv-me] *q^he jvç jvç*
 IPFV.EVD-not.exist LNK be.possible:FACT be.possible:FACT
jvç' to-ti nuu-ŋu.
 be.possible:FACT EVD-say TESTIM-be

The young lady had no other way (but to) say “yes, yes, yes”. (Die Gänsemagd, adaptation, 88)

There are several ways of analysing examples such as (21). One could argue that the first clause is really embedded within the main clause as in the case of converbial clauses. However, given the fact that in such constructions, a pause (together with fillers such as *nykinuu* ‘this one’ used when the speaker hesitates) often occurs after

the ergative postpositional phrase, an alternative option would be to consider that the postposition phrase here is topicalized and extracted from the main clause.

Similar phenomena have been reported in other Sino-Tibetan languages, such as Newar (Genetti 1988). We defer the precise syntactic analysis of such constructions, which may require a monograph-size work, to future research.

2.5.2 Tail-head linkage and related phenomena

Tail-head linkage is a type of linking strategy whereby an element (generally the verb) of one clause is repeated in the following clause (see de Vries 2005 for a typological overview). Such constructions are massively attested in languages of Western Sichuan (see for instance Zhang 2013: 688–693). In Japhug, they occur predominantly with parataxis and loose temporal succession linking with finite clauses separated by linkers such as *tçe* or *q^he*. No examples of tail-head linkage involving a converbial subordinate clause have been found.

Tail-head linkage can affect an entire clause without any effect on the verb or on the arguments, as in (22).

- (22) *nuu-me* *stu kuu-xtçi* *nuu ny-mbi-nuu,*
 3PL.POSS-daughter most NMLZ:S/A-be.small DEM EVD-give-PL
tçe nuu-me *stu kuu-xtçi* *nuu*
 LNK 3PL.POSS-daughter most NMLZ:S/A-be.small DEM
ny-mbi-nuu tçe, tçe tç^heme nuu to-numbrypuu, uzo kuu mbro
 EVD-give-PL LNK LNK girl DEM EVD-ride he ERG horse
to-mts^hi tçe lo-çe-ndzi.
 EVD-lead LNK EVD:UPSTREAM-go-DU
 They gave (him) their daughter (in marriage), and as they gave (him) their
 daughter (in marriage), the girl rode, he lead the horse and they went
 upstream. (The frog 64)

However, the repeated element in the second sentence generally only includes a fragment of the first clause, removing for instance one of the arguments or an adjunct as in (23).

- (23) *nuu tpytso nuunu li* *suunguu zuu jo-çe. suunguu zuu jo-çe*
 DEM boy DEM again forest LOC EVD-go forest LOC EVD-go
tçe tçendyre, p^hawrgot nuu kuu tpytso nuu pa-mto tçe,
 LNK LNK boar DEM ERG boy DEM PFV:3→3-see LNK
 The boy went again to the forest, and as he went to the forest, the boar saw
 the boy. (Das tafere Schneiderlein, adaptation, 220)

Sometimes the second clause is an elaboration on the first, and contains more element and additional verbal morphology as in (24) where in the second clause

the verb *lu-z-naxje* IPFV-CAUS-probe[III] ‘he probes into it’ contains the causative prefix *z-* because of the added instrument *uu-jaɣ kuu* ‘with its paw’.

- (24) *lulu nuu nutɕu lu-ɕe muɨj-xtɕ^hut ma*
 CAT DEM THERE IPFV:UPSTREAM-go NEG:TESTIM-fit.in because
juu-wxti q^he, tɕendvɾe lu-naxje q^he, tɕe
 TESTIM-be.big LNK LNK IPFV-probe[III] LNK LNK
uu-jaɣ kuu ki tu-ste
 3SG.POSS-hand ERG DEM:PROX IPFV-do.like[III]
lu-z-naxje juu-ŋu ri,
 IPFV-CAUS-probe[III] TESTIM-be LNK

The cat does not fit in to go inside, because it is (too) big, and it probes (into the hole), it probes with its paw like that. (Weasel, 47)

Cases where nouns are repeated between two clauses, but the verb is changed as in (25) can also be viewed as instance of tail-head linkage.

- (25) *uu-ku kuura tu-ste tɕe zruy ra*
 3SG.POSS-head PROX.DEM:PL IPFV-do.this.way[III] LNK louse PL
pjuu-re juu-ŋu. tɕe zruy nuura tu-ndze juu-ŋu.
 IPFV-remove.lice TESTIM-be LNK louse DEM:PL IPFV-eat[III] TESTIM-be
 He does like this with his head and removes lice, and eats lice. (Monkey, 36)

Another construction that can be viewed as a type of tail-head linkage in Japhug is a paratactic construction where one argument is marked by a demonstrative cataphorically referring to a noun phrase in the next sentence with repetition of the verb, as in (26). These sentences have a specific intonation and a pause, and the second clause is a type of afterthought.

- (26) *nyki tu-ndze ŋu, sujno tu-ndze juu-ŋu*
 PROX.DEM IPFV-eat[III] be:FACT plant IPFV-eat[III] TESTIM-be
 It eats that, it eats plants. (Cricket, 51)

Topicalization by verb fronting as in (27) is similar to tail-head linkage in that the verb of the first clause is repeated in the next one. This type of construction, common in the Sino-Tibetan family (for instance in Sinitic languages, Paris 1981, Matthews and Yip 1994: 76), is well-attested in the Japhug corpus. The topicalized verb is either in the infinitive or in the perfective.

- (27) *tɕ^hi tv-mbro, ɛnuu-rtɕy ɕɔŋtaɣ tu-mbro muɨj-ɕ^ha.*
 what PFV-be.high two-stairs up.to IPFV-be.high NEG:TESTIM-can
 As far as its size is concerned, it cannot grow higher than two stairs. (Apple, 26)

3. Temporal

Japhug presents a considerable variety of temporal and conditional clause linking constructions, summarized in Table 8.⁹

Table 8. Temporal linking constructions

Clause linking type	Construction	
Temporal succession		Parataxis
		Coordination with <i>tce</i> or <i>q^he</i>
Relative time	Length	clause with <i>tsu</i> ‘spend (a certain time)’
	Succession	SC with the relator nouns <i>u-q^hu</i> , <i>u-mp^hru</i> ‘after’ or <i>u-ndo</i> ‘in the end’
		SC with the postposition <i>jvzvny</i> ‘at the time when’ or <i>caŋpci</i> ‘henceforth’
	Precedence	SC with the postposition <i>cuŋŋgu</i> ‘before’ (requires imperfective in the SC) or with <i>mvctsa</i> ‘until’
	Immediate succession	SC with the perfective converb <i>tu-</i>
		SC with the postpositions <i>cuumuuma</i> ‘immediately’ and <i>kóvmuz</i> ‘just after’
Immediate precedence	SC with the linker <i>tyk^ha</i> ‘about to’ verb in factual form + <i>pu-ŋu</i> in the SC prospective/conative <i>ju-</i> in the SC	
Simultaneity	SC with the relator nouns <i>u-raŋ</i> ‘time’, <i>u-k^huk^ha</i> ‘while’ or <i>u-juja</i> ‘along with’	
	SC with the gerund <i>sy-</i>	
Conditional	Iterative coincidence	Reduplicated perfective verb in the SC
	Real	Verb with interrogative <i>u-</i> in the SC + linker <i>ny</i>
		Verb with reduplicated first syllable in the SC + linker <i>ny</i>
	Alternative	Verb in past imperfective with the autobenefactive in the SC + linker <i>ny</i>
	concessive	Polar interrogative <i>ci</i>
	Scalar	Verb in past imperfective with the autobenefactive in the SC + <i>kunv</i> ‘also’
concessive	Polar interrogative <i>ci</i>	

9. In this table, and all the following charts, converbial forms are indicated in bold.

Table 8. (continued)

Clause linking type	Construction
	Counterfactual
	Verb with reduplicated first syllable in the protasis + linker <i>ny</i>
	Verb in past imperfective in the apodosis
	Hypothetical
	Verb in irrealis in the apodosis

3.1 Temporal succession

Temporal succession is a type of clause linking where the temporal sequence in which the events took place is directly reflected by the order of the clauses describing them.

This meaning can be expressed by simple parataxis as in (28). This construction is rare, and also attested with the Elaboration linking (5.2). It is formally similar to a serial verb construction (such constructions occur in Manner linkings, see (7)).

- (28) *tce nuu tu-tu-łɔɐ zo q^he c^hu-p^hut-nuu*
 LNK DEM IPFV-CONV:IMM-COME.OUT EMPH LNK IPFV-take.out-PL
c^hu-βde-nuu cti.
 IPFV-throw.away-PL be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT
 As soon as it has grown, people unroot it and throw it away. (*čurngo*, 34)

With parataxis, when the two clauses share the same verb, the first can be elided as in (29).

- (29) *tce my-ky-p^haɐ nuu čurduum,*
 LNK NEG-NMLZ:P-hack DEM non-hacked.firewood
nuu-ky-p^haɐ nuu supa rmi tce,
 PFV-NMLZ:P-hack DEM hacked.firewood be.called:FACT LNK
 The firewood that is not hacked is called ‘non-hacked firewood’, and the one that has been hacked is called ‘hacked firewood’. (*Burden10-1*)

The most common way to express temporal succession is the linkers *tce* and *q^he* ‘then’ (and their variants *tceɗdyre* and *q^heɗdyre*). *tce* and *tceɗdyre* are by far the most common words in Japhug narratives and conversations, and are often repeated between clauses, as in (30).

- (30) *zuruzɣri tce tce tu-zbaɐ tce u-ci*
 progressively LNK LNK IPFV-be.dry LNK 3SG.POSS-water
ɲuu-me ɲuu-ɲu tce u-ci nuu-me zo
 IPFV-not.exist TESTIM-be LNK 3SG.POSS-water PFV-not.exist EMPH

tçe, tçendyre ku-mar-nuu

LNK LNK IPFV-smear-PL

Progressively, it becomes dry, its moisture disappears, and when there is no moisture any more, they smear it (with butter). (Red leather, 8–9)

There is some evidence of a subordinating relation between the first and the last clause in this construction. When several clauses are in a periphrastic tense (see Section 2.1.3) combining the imperfective form of the verb with the auxiliary *pu-ŋu* PST.IPFV-*be*, only the last one (*tu-ti-nuu* IPFV-say-PL ‘they say’) receives the auxiliary, as in (31). In view of such data, it is legitimate to consider the last clause (the only one with full TAM marking) to be the main clause, and all previous ones to be subordinate. Notice that there is no constraint in this construction on coreference between the core arguments of the final clause and those of the previous clauses.

(31) *kuçungu tçe [βlama kuu-fse nuu ku nunuu*

in.former.times LNK lama NMLZ:S/A-be.like DEM ERG DEM

ky-ky-mtsuy u-stu nuu tçu tu-tçyt,]

PFV-NMLZ:P-bite 3SG.POSS-place DEM LOC IPFV-take.out

[tu-ci u-ŋgu pju-yyle] tçe, k^huzypuu

INDEF.POSS-water 3SG-inside IPFV-soak LNK puppy

ku-fsu~fse zo tu-ti-nuu pu-ŋu

NMLZ:S/A-be.like EMPH IPFV-say-PL PAST.IPFV-be

In former times, lamas would take out (the rabies) from the place that had been bitten, soak it in water, and it looked like a little puppy, people used to say. (Rabies, 13)

The linker *q^he* is ten times rarer than *tçe* in our corpus. It is never repeated, but the combination *q^he tçe* is also attested (32).

(32) *uwo pju-sat-nuu q^he tçe u-ndzi nuu pju-qav-nuu*

3SG IPFV-kill-PL LNK LNK 3SG.POSS-skin DEM IPFV-skin-PL

People kill it and then skin it. (*sponşrym*, 107)

The linker *tçe*, unlike *q^he*, does not necessarily imply that the events of the two clauses are in succession: it can be used in Unordered Addition linking (5.1). Moreover, *tçe* appears in sentences like (33) whose meaning is intermediate between a pure temporal and a conditional construction.¹⁰

10. Note that the verb *me* ‘not exist’ has two perfective forms, *nuu-me* ‘it does not exist anymore’ as in (30) and the form *ty-me* ‘in cases when there is no’ illustrated by example (33) that only appears in clause linkings.

The auxiliary verb *pa* ‘do’ can also be used instead of *tsu* ‘to pass’, as in example (36).

- (36) *syndzuunlamu c^hondyre tci zo ni ky-amufse-tci ny*
 Sangndzin.Lhamo COMIT 1DU DU PFV-know.each.other-1DU LNK
jinde kußdvsqi u-ro to-pa
 now forty 3SG.POSS-excess EVD-do
 Sangndzin Lhamo and I have known each other for more than forty years.
 (Friends, 2–3)

3.2.2 Succession

There are three ways of expressing succession in Japhug, either by using possessed relator nouns, a postposition or by means of the converb of immediate succession.

The possessed relator noun *u-q^hu* ‘after’ can be postposed to the subordinate clause to express succession between the event depicted in the subordinate clause and that of the main clause. The verb in the subordinate clause has to be in a finite form. In most examples it is in the perfective of evidential forms, but there are no restrictions on its TAM marking and examples in the imperfective are also found (sentence (37)). The locative marker *ri* can optionally be added after these nouns as in example (38). The noun *u-q^hu* also has a locative meaning ‘behind’ when used preverbally or following a noun phrase relating to a place.

- (37) [*c^hú-wy-taß*] *u-q^hu tce, ky-taß t^hu-jy tce*
 IPFV-INV-weave 3SG.POSS-after LNK INF-weave PFV-finish LNK
tçendyre li juú-wy-xtci tce li pjú-wy-xtsu ra.
 LNK again IPFV-INV-wash LNK again IPFV-INV-thrush need:FACT
 After one has woven it, when the weaving is finished, one has to wash it and thrush it again. (Gunny bag, 10)
- (38) [*smuntşuy nuunu ty-łoß*] *u-q^hu tsa ri tce*
 Pleiades DEM PFV-come.out 3SG.POSS-after a.little LOC LNK
tce, qandze tu-łoß ŋu.
 LNK earthworm IPFV-come.out be:FACT
 The (constellation of the) earthworm appears a little after the Pleiades have come out. (Pleiades, 23)

Second, the possessed noun *u-mp^hru* ‘after’, like *u-q^hu*, can express succession between two clauses. The verb of the subordinate clause is in the perfective (39) or in the evidential.

- (39) [*tuumu ka-lyt*] *u-mp^hru nu tu.*
 sky PFV:3→3-auxiliary 3SG.POSS-after DEM exist:FACT
 It is found after it has rained. (*zdumqe*, 73)

Third, *u-ndo* ‘internal side of a field (the one towards the river)’ can also express succession and has a temporal meaning ‘in the end’ in sentences like (40).

- (40) *uzo uŋgu jvzɲv taɛndo kuu-tso*
 3SG in.the.beginning while instruction NMLZ:S/A-understand
ci pjv-ŋu ri, u-ndo tɕe taɛndo muu-ŋv-tso
 INDEF EVD.IPFV-be LNK 3SG.POSS-side LNK instruction NEG-EVD-understand
 In the beginning, he was an obedient (child), but in the end he became
 naughty. (elicitation)

An alternative construction used to express succession is the postposition *jvzɲv* ‘at the time when’ which indicates a bounded period of time after the reference point corresponding to the event described in the subordinate clause, as in (41).

- (41) [*ty-lob*] *jvzɲv ɲuu-xtci laɛma nuu*
 PFV-come.out while TESTIM-be.small apart.from.the.fact.that DEM
ku-fse ɲuu-nuu-ŋuu~ŋu q^he
 NMLZ:S/A-be.like TESTIM-AUTO-be LNK
 Apart from the fact that it is small (during the period after) it has come out,
 it is (already) like that (it has a round shape). (*zwyrq^hvjmɣ*, 19)

To express an unbounded length of time following the reference point (valid up to the present time, unlike in the case of *jvzɲv*), the postposition *ɕaŋpɕi* ‘since, henceforth’¹¹ can be used instead, and optionally followed by the emphatic linker *zo* and the linkers *tɕe* or *q^he*. This usage, although possible, is not attested in our corpus.¹²

- (42) [*uzo jv-ari*] *ɕaŋpɕi zo tɕe tɕe kv-mts^hym puu-me.*
 3SG PFV-go[II] since EMPH LNK LNK INF-hear PST.IPFV-not.exist
 We haven’t heard of him since he left. (elicited)

3.2.3 Precedence

The only way to express neutral temporal precedence in Japhug is a construction with the postposition *ɕuŋgu* ‘before’.¹³ The verb of the subordinate clause must be in the imperfective, regardless of whether the verb of the main clause is in the imperfective (43 and 44) or in the perfective (45).

11. This postposition must be borrowed from Tibetan, since the rhyme *-aŋ* does not occur in the native non-ideophonic vocabulary, but its exact source is unclear; the second syllable is probably related to the first syllable of Tibetan *p^hjin.tɕ^had* ‘from ... on’.

12. All examples of *ɕaŋpɕi* ‘since’ in our corpus occur after noun phrases.

13. This postposition, used with a noun phrase, only has a temporal meaning unlike *u-q^hu* ‘after’

- (43) [pɣjk^hu pju-si] *ɕuŋgu zo u-ɕa u-ndza*
 already IPFV-die before EMPH 3SG.POSS-flesh 3SG-BARE.INF:eat
tu-za-nuu ɕti.
 IPFV-start-PL be:ASSERTIVE:FACT
 They start eating its flesh before it dies. (Lion, 44)
- (44) [lɣβzaŋ ju-nuɣi] *ɕuŋgu stunmu βzu-j ra*
 Lobzang IPFV-come.home before marriage make:FACT-1PL need:FACT
 We have to organize the marriage before Lobzang comes back. (Lobzang, 32)
- (45) [nu-si] *ɕuŋgu pu-nu-NGYt-ndzi*
 IPFV-die before PFV-AUTO-ANTICAUS:separate-DU
 They had divorced before she died. (Siblings, 325)

The postposition *ɕuŋgu* ‘before’ can be combined with *jvzɳv* to express a time period ending with the point of reference in the subordinate clause.

- (46) *tu-kuu-myɣm tu-ze ɕuŋgu jvzɳv*
 GENR.POSS-NMLZ.S/A-hurt IPFV-start[III] before while
tú-wɣ-z-nuusmɣn ra
 IPFV-INV-CAUS-treat have.to:FACT
 It is necessary to have someone treat it before one’s disease starts. (elicited)

For expressing an event occurring during a period of time with no explicit beginning until the point of reference, the postposition *myɕtɕa* ‘until’ is employed, as in (47) and (48). The subordinate clause is almost always in the perfective.

- (47) *βzuu nuu kuu a-my-ky-kuu-mtsuy ra ma*
 mouse DEM ERG IRR-NEG-PFV-GENR:S/P-bite need:FACT LNK
ŋotɕu ka-ndo q^he, [muu-nuu-sɣt] myɕtɕa
 where PFV:3→3-grab LNK NEG-PFV-be.torn.apart until
nuu-te my-ŋgrɣl.
 IPFV:put[III] NEG:be.usually.the.case:FACT
 One should not be bitten by a mouse, because it does not let go of the place that it has bitten until (the flesh) has been torn apart. (Mouse, 182)
- (48) [*kuɣcɣqi u-ro tuuka muu-t^hu-azyuut-ndzi*] *myɕtɕa*
 eighty 3SG.POSS-leftover each NEG-PFV-reach-DU until
muu-nuu-si-ndzi nɣ
 NEG-PFV-die-DU SFP
 They did not die before they had reached eighty (years old). (Siblings, 38)

In most examples, *myɕtɕa* ‘until’ is used with the subordinate clause and the main clause in a negative form as in (47) and (48). We do find examples of *myɕtɕa* with

non-negative subordinate clauses (49) or non-negative main clauses (50 and 51), but one of the two has to be with a verb in the negative form.

- (49) [*u-mat tu-lyt ta-za*] *myçtša*
 3SG.POSS-fruit NMLZ:ACTION-throw PFV:3→3-begin until
my-suχsɣl-nuu
 NEG-recognize:FACT-PL
 They are not able to recognize it before it has born fruit. (Oat, 19)
- (50) [*mu-ly-fsoB*] *myçtša puu-rŋgu-a puu-ra*
 NEG-PFV-be.clear until PST.IPFV-lie-1SG PST.IPFV-need
 I had to (remain) lying until the day broke. (Lhazgron, 37)
- (51) [*mu-t^hu-wxti*] *myçtša tv-mu nuu ku u-pu*
 NEG-PFV-big until INDEF.POSS-mother DEM ERG 3SG.POSS-litter
ra, u-p^hu nuu u-sy-me ri
 PL 3SG.POSS-male DEM 3SG-NMLZ:OBLIQUE-not.exist LOC
ju-tsum tce,
 IPFV-take.away LNK
 Until they grow big, the mother takes her litter away to a place where the male is not found. (Lion, 75)

In the subordinate clause, the polarity is actually semantically neutralized; it is possible to add or remove the negative prefix without influencing the truth value. For instance, the sentence (52) is equivalent to (50).

- (52) [*ly-fsoB*] *myçtša puu-rŋgu-a puu-ra*
 PFV-be.clear until PST.IPFV-lie-1SG PST.IPFV-need
 I had to (remain) lying until the day broke. (elicited)

It is possible that pragmatic differences exist between the two constructions, but we defer this topic to future studies.

3.2.4 Immediate succession

The perfective converb *tuu-*, whose morphology is described in Section 2.1.4, is the main way to express immediate temporal succession ('as soon as', 'just after') in Japhug. The verb of the focal clause is either in the factual (example (53, 54)) or imperfective forms (55, 56); other TAM categories in the focal clause (in particular perfective or imperative) are not accepted by native speakers.

This non-finite verb form is devoid of person or transitivity marking, but the subordinate clause can include overt arguments, including A (marked with the ergative as in (53)) or S/P (example (54)).

There is often coreference between the arguments of the subordinate clause and those of the main one: A and P in (53), S in (54) and A of the subordinate clause to the S of the main clause in (55). This is however not an absolute syntactic constraint, as we also find examples where no coreference occurs (56).

The subordinate clause in this construction is marked by either linkers such as *ny* (54), *tɕe* or *q^he* (55 and 56) or the marker *zo* (53 and 56) which emphasizes the meaning of immediate temporal succession between the events described by the subordinate and the main clauses.

- (53) [tuɾme ra kuu pjuu-tuu-mto] zo sat-nuu ɕti.
 people PL ERG IPFV-CONV:IMM-see EMPH kill:FACT-PL be.ASSERTIVE:FACT
 People kill it as soon as they see it. (Dhole, 15)
- (54) [u-puu juu-tuu-vaɕ] ny kumpyɾɕuu jamar
 3SG.POSS-child IPFV-CONV:IMM-hatch.out LNK sparrow about
 ma me.
 apart.from not.exist:FACT
 Just after its chick has hatched out, it is just (as big as) a sparrow. (Tetras, 87)
- (55) [pjuu-tuu-qluut] q^he, mdoɕ q^he, ɕ^huβ zo
 IPFV-CONV:IMM-break LNK brittle LNK IDEO:I.in.pieces EMPH
 pjuu-ŋgluut
 IPFV-ANTICAUS:break
 When one breaks (its stalk), as it is very brittle, it breaks at once into two
 pieces. (mydymjɾɾm, 37)
- (56) [lu-tuu-fsoɕ] zo q^he tuu-ɾɾma
 IPFV-CONV:IMM-be.clear EMPH LNK NMLZ:ACTION-work
 tu-ze juu-ɾu.
 IPFV-begin[III] TESTIM-be
 It starts working as soon as the day breaks. (Bee, 65)

This construction can also be used with first or second person referents as in (57).

- (57) [t^hamak^ha pjuu-tuu-sko] tɕe tu-oɕq^he-a ɾu
 tobacco IPFV-CONV:IMM-smoke LNK IPFV-cough-1SG be:FACT
 I cough as soon as I smoke tobacco. (elicited)

Another way to express the same meaning is to use the postposition *ɕummuuma* ‘just after’ (optionally followed by the locative *ri* or the emphatic linker *zo*) after the subordinate clause with the verb in the perfective, as in (58).

- (58) *nunnu u-χti nuu pju-sat-nuu q^he [pu-si]*
 DEM 3SG.POSS-mate DEM IPFV-kill-PL LNK PFV-die
ϕumuma nuu ra wuma zo c^hu-ywu abynduundyt
 immediately.after DEM PL really EMPH IPFV-weep everywhere
ju-nyϕuice juu-ŋu ri, χsu-sji
 IPFV-go.in.all.directions TESTIM-be LNK three-day
my-ku-tsu q^he li kumax ci ju-yut q^he,
 NEG-INF:NON.HUMAN-pass LNK again other INDEF IPFV-bring LNK
 When people kill its mate, just after it has died, it weeps a lot and goes
 everywhere (to look for it), but before three days have passed, it has already
 found another one. (Chough, 79–81)

The semantic proximity between the two constructions can be illustrated by the fact that in some cases when speakers hesitate as in (59), they can switch between the two.

- (59) [*turgipavtsa nuu ty-sci*] *ϕumuma, nuu pavtsa*
 squirrel DEM PFV-be.born immediately.after DEM piglet
ra c^hu-tu-sci tce, tce nuu nunnu
 IPFV-CONV:IMM-be.born LNK LNK LNK DEM DEM
ku-ŋav ɛja tu, ku-wyrum
 NMLZ:S/A-be.black completely exist:FACT NMLZ:S/A-be.white
ɛja tu,
 completely exist:FACT
 When a squirrel has just been born... when piglets have just been born,
 some are completely black, others are completely white. (Black and white fur,
 216–7)

The postposition *kóβmuz* ‘only then, only after’ also expresses immediate succession, but its meaning is intermediate between a purely temporal and a condition linking. It implies that the event of the focal clause not only occurs immediately after that of the subordinate clause, but also that the latter is a condition for it to happen, as in example (60).¹⁴

- (60) *tceri ku-βrav-nuu, [u-mi ra ku-xtcyv-nuu] kóβmuz*
 LNK IPFV-tie.up-pl 3SG.POSS-foot PL IPFV-attach-PL only.after
ty-lu pju-tcyt juu-ra
 INDEF.POSS-milk IPFV-take.out TESTIM-have.to
 It is necessary to milk (the female yak) only after people have tied it up and
 attached its feet. (Yak, 19)

14. As a postposition, *kóβmuz* also occurs after noun phrases expressing a temporal duration.

More commonly, the phrase *nuu kóv̄muuz n̄v'* and only after that' is used in texts for expressing this meaning as in (61).

- (61) *nuu u-muuntov nuu pu-ŋgra kóv̄muuz n̄v*
 DEM 3SG.POSS-flower DEM PFV-ANTICAUS:make.fall only.after LNK
u-jwab juu-lyt t̄ce nuu kóv̄muuz n̄v
 3SG.POSS-leaf IPFV-throw LNK DEM only.after 3SG.POSS-fruit
u-mat ku-ts^hov̄ ŋu.
 IPFV-bear be:FACT
 It grows leaves only after its flower has fallen, and only then does it bear
 fruits. (Apricot, 9–10)

3.2.5 Immediate precedence

There are four constructions expressing immediate precedence between two events in Japhug.

First, the linker *tvk^ha* 'about to' is used in combination with a verb in the factual form in the subordinate clause, as in (62) and (63). It is generally followed by the linkers *t̄ce* and *q^he*.

- (62) *łamu ku [yi-ndzi] tvk^ha t̄ce puwuu u-eki uzo*
 Lhamo ERG come:FACT-DU about.to LNK donkey 3SG-DAT 3SG
ku ta-tut nuu to-suɔjɔt t̄ce,
 ERG PFV:3→3-say[II] DEM EVD-remember LNK
 Lhamo remembered what she had said to her donkey as they were about to
 depart (to come here). (Raven1, 64–5)
- (63) *[ambov] tvk^ha t̄ce t̄ce juu-mu-a t̄ce, t̄ce*
 burst:FACT about.to LNK LNK TESTIM-be.afraid-1SG LNK LNK
a-jab juu-muunmu juu-cti q^he,
 1SG.POSS-hand IPFV-move TESTIM-be:ASSERTIVE LNK
 (When I was aiming), as (the gun) was about to burst, I was afraid and my
 hand moved. (Guns, 135)

Second, a verb in factual form combined with the copula in the past imperfective or evidential imperfective, as in (64), also expresses the meaning 'about to'.

- (64) *[zatsa tuumu qanu] p̄jv-ŋu, t̄ceri nuu t̄cu t̄ce puwuu*
 soon sky be.dark:FACT EVD.IPFV-be but DEM LOC LNK donkey
nuu tu-tuɔpu k^ha u-p^hab n̄tsi
 DEM one-family house 3SG.POSS-side one.of.a.pair
pu-kui-mbuut u-p^hab n̄tsi
 PFV-NMLZ:S/A-collapse 3SG.POSS-side one.of.a.pair

ku-pe *ci* *yuu u-byri* *zui ko-ryzi*
 NMLZ:S/A-be.good INDEF GEN 3SG-before LOC EVD-stay
 It was about to be dark, but the donkey stayed in front of a house, one half of
 which had collapsed and the other half was good. (Raven1, 52–3)

This construction, unlike the two previous ones, can have a frustrative meaning, expressing an action in its initial stage that eventually fails (65).

- (65) [*tce yuu-tc^hu*] *puu-ŋu* *ri, ci nu*
 LNK INV-gore:FACT PST.IPFV-be LNK INDEF DEM
m^y-wy-suy-c^ha *puu-ŋu* *jamar zo qarts^haz nu*
 NEG-INV-CAUS-can PST.IPFV-be about EMPH deer DEM
jy-nuu-łoɓ *ndyre,*
 PFV-AUTO-come.out LNK
 As the (muntjac) was about to gore him, as he was about to fail, the deer
 appeared and... (Lobzang1.70)

Third, the conative prefix *juu-*, combined with a finite verb in perfective or evidential form, also expresses conative and frustrative meaning as the factual+past imperfective construction, as in (66).

- (66) *χsuu-tyxuur zuumi*, [*χsuu-tyxuur juu-ko-ɕe*] *zo tce, nu*
 three-turn almost three-turn CONATIVE-EVD-go EMPH LNK DEM
ma muu-ŋy-c^ha tce,
 apart.from NEG-EVD:PERM-can LNK
 As he was about to finish the third turn, he could not (run) anymore. (The
 prince, 109–110)

Fourth, the locative *tɕu* following a verb in the perfective indicates almost exact simultaneity, as in (67).

- (67) [*pri nu kuu nunuu qrormbuu nu u-łoɓ nu*
 bear DEM ERG DEM anthill DEM 3SG.POSS-nest DEM
t^ha-sloɓ] *nu tɕu tce, u-mɲaɓ u-ŋuu ku-ɕe,*
 PFV:3→3'-root.out DEM LOC LNK 3SG.POSS-eye 3SG-inside IPFV-go
u-mɲaɓ u-ŋuu u-rmbi ku-lyt tce
 3SG.POSS-eye 3SG-inside 3SG.POSS-urine IPFV-throw LNK
 When bears_i root out ant_j hills, they_j go inside their_i eyes_k and urinate in
 them_k. (Bear, 26)

3.2.6 Simultaneity

There are four main constructions expressing simultaneity between the events of two clauses. First, we find cases whereby the subordinate clause is a relative clause with the possessed noun *u-raj* ‘time’ in a locative form as its head noun. Second,

the subordinate clause is marked with the relator nouns *u-k^huuk^ha* ‘while’ and *u-juuja* ‘while, along with’. Third, the verb of the subordinate clause is in a converbial form. Fourth, to indicate an exact moment, one can combine the perfective with the locative *tɕu*.

The construction involving *u-raj* ‘time’ is formally a non-nominalized prenominal relative clause. The noun *u-raj* ‘time’ is the head noun, and bears a locative marker (*ri*, *zui* or *nuu tɕu*). This construction corresponds to English ‘In the time when...’. It is generally used to indicate a long time period.

- (68) [ny-ɕya xtɕi] *u-raj* *ri nuu*
 2SG.POSS-tooth small:FACT 3SG.POSS-time LOC DEM
tuí-wy-nvzda ŋu ri
 2-INV-accompany be.with:FACT but
 While you are young, she will be with you. (Slobdpon2, 60)

Like *u-raj* in the previous construction, the marker *u-k^huuk^ha* ‘while’ is used to express that the event of the focal clause occurs during (or that its entire duration is embedded within) that of the subordinate clause. This construction is much more common than the previous one, and does not imply a long time period. The verbs of both clauses are finite, and need to be in the imperfective, as in (69) and (70). There are no coreference restrictions on the arguments of the clauses.

- (69) *tɕendvɾe* [tu-nuusmɾn] *u-k^huuk^ha* *tu-ryma-nuu*.
 LNK IPFV-treat 3SG-the.same.time IPFV-work-PL
 (The lepers) worked (there) while he treated them. (Leprosy, 61)

- (70) *nuunu* [ju-rɕuuy] *u-k^huuk^ha* *u-se* *ku-ts^hi*
 DEM IPFV-run 3SG-the.same.time 3SG.POSS-blood IPFV-drink
nuu-ɕti.
 TESTIM-be:ASSERTION
 It drinks its blood while (its prey is still) running. (Lion, 50)

The marker *u-juuja* ‘while, along’ differs from *u-k^huuk^ha* in that it implies a gradual change of state in both events occurring simultaneously and progressively. The verb of the subordinate clause is generally in the perfective (though examples with imperfective forms are also attested), while that of the focal clause can be in any TAM form:

- (71) [*uzo ty-wxti*] *u-juuja* *tɕe u-jwax* *nuunu*
 3SG PFV-be.big 3SG-along LNK 3SG.POSS-leaf DEM
nuu~nuu-nduɔβ zo nuu-ŋu.
 INCREASE~IPFV-be.tiny EMPH TESTIM-be
 As it grows big, its leaves become more and more tiny. (Poplar, 18)

- (72) [lʏ-fsoʁ] *u-juja nu pju-ru tce*
 PFV-be.clear 3SG-along DEM IPFV:DOWN-look LNK
u-ky-nuumbrypu nu k^hu pu-cti juu-ŋu,
 3SG-NMLZ:P-ride DEM tiger PST.IPFV-be.ASSERT TESTIM-be
 As the day was breaking, looking down, he (progressively realized that) what
 he was riding was a tiger. (Tiger, 20)

The gerund converb *sv-*, generally followed by the marker *zo* (see (2.1.4) for the morphological structure of this non-finite form) semantically overlaps with the *u-k^huk^ha* ‘while’ construction, as illustrated by this pair of sentences which follow each other within the same text:

- (73) [juu-nuuqambuumbjom] *u-k^huk^ha ri ju-βji tce*
 IPFV-fly 3SG-the.same.time LOC IPFV-catch LNK
tu-ndze ŋgrɿ. [sv-nuuqambuumbjom] zo,
 IPFV-eat[III] be.usually.the.case:FACT GERUND-fly EMPH
ku-ndym tce, pju-sat ŋgrɿ
 IPFV-take LNK IPFV-kill be.usually.the.case:FACT
 It catches them while it flies and eats them, it catches them while flying and
 kills them. (The buzzard1, 6–7)

It differs syntactically in that the gerund converb requires identity between the S/A of the subordinate and the main clause. (74) is an example where the A and P of the SC are coreferent with those of the FC.

- (74) *nunu juu-nuy-me ri tce nu kuny ku-xse*
 DEM IPFV-APPL-fear[III] LNK LNK DEM also IPFV-feed[III]
juu-ra, tce [svz-nuymu-γmu] zo ku-xse juu-ra
 TESTIM-have.to LNK GERUND-APPL-fear EMPH IPFV-feed[III] TESTIM-have.to
 Although (the ‘stupid bird’) fears (the little buzzard), it still has to feed it, and
 has to feed it while being afraid of it. (The buzzard2, 104)

The gerund can be optionally followed by the ergative marker *ku* as in (75).

- (75) *tyzi nunu ku [u-qom sv-ʔu-ʔoʁ] ku ju-mja tce,*
 young.lady DEM ERG 3SG.POSS-tear GERUND-come.out ERG EVD-take LNK
 The young lady took it, while her tears were flowing. (Die Gänsemagd,
 adaptation, 29)

Apart from these four constructions, simultaneity can be expressed by simple parataxis (with optional addition of the marker *zo*) of two clauses in the imperative, as in the first clause indicated between square brackets in (76). This example is useful for the parallelism it offers with the *u-k^huk^ha* ‘while’ construction.

- (76) *βyɿmo* *yɿu u-pci* *ri pju-rmbi* *ɿu*
 lower.millstone GEN 3SG-outside LOC IPFV-pile.up[III] be:FACT
tce, [*ku-suu-fskvr*] *zo* *pju-rmbi* *ɿu* *matci*
 LNK IPFV-CAUS-go.around EMPH IPFV-pile.up[III] be:FACT because
 [*ku-mtɕur*] *u-k^huk^ha* *pju-tɕɿt*
 IPFV-turn 3SG-the.same.time IPFV-take.out
 (The mill)_i accumulates (the flour)_j outside of the lower millstone_k, it_i makes
 it_j revolve around it_k while it_i accumulates it_j, because it_i turns around while
 it_i takes it_j out. (The mill, 210)

3.3 Conditional

Conditional constructions indicate that the event in the main clause (apodosis) takes place if the condition depicted in the subordinate clause (protasis) is fulfilled. Depending on whether the protasis is a fact or a hypothetical situation, several types of conditionals can be distinguished.

We distinguish in this work four main types of conditional constructions: recurrent implication, real, counterfactual and hypothetical. As in many languages (Dixon 2009: 14), there is some degree of overlap between temporal and conditional clause linking in Japhug in the case of the first two subtypes.

3.3.1 *Iterative coincidence*

The construction expressing iterative coincidence or recurrent implication is semantically intermediate between temporal and conditional clause linking.¹⁵ It describes that whenever the event depicted in the protasis is fulfilled, the one of the apodosis necessarily always occurs, and that this has taken place several times in the past. It can be generally translated as ‘each time A then B’.

In this construction, we find a reduplicated verb in the perfective in the protasis, and a verb in the imperfective followed by the auxiliary *ɿu* ‘be’ in the apodosis. The protasis generally ends with the emphatic linker *zo* or the conditional linker *ny*, but parataxis is also possible.

- (77) [*c^ha* *ɕuu~ɕ-ky-ts^hi-t-a*] (*zo*)
 alcohol COND~TRANSLOC-PFV-drink-PST:TR-1SG EMPH
lu-βzi-a *ɿu*
 IPFV-be.drunk-1SG be:FACT
 Each time I drink alcohol, I get intoxicated. (elicited)

15. A semantically similar construction was described by Valentine (2009: 204)

- (78) [tuumu kuu~ka-lyt] (zo) zdumlaβruuβruu
 sky COND~PFV-throw EMPH snail
 ju-nuu-łoβ ηu
 IPFV-AUTO-come.out be:FACT
 Each time it rains, snails come out. (elicited)

A similar meaning can be expressed with non-reduplicated perfective in the protasis, as in (79).

- (79) tce [ly-zo-nuu] kuɲy tutuurca
 LNK PFV:UPSTREAM-land-PL also together
 lu-zo-nuu, [t^hu-nuuqambuɲjom-nuu] kuɲy tutuurca
 IPFV:UPSTREAM-land-PL PFV:DOWNSTREAM-fly-PL also together
 c^hu-nuuqambuɲjom-nuu
 IPFV:DOWNSTREAM-fly-PL
 Whenever they perch (on something) they perch together, whenever they fly down, they fly together. (Pigeon, 9)

3.3.2 Real

Real conditionals express that the event described in the apodosis occurs whenever the condition expressed in the protasis is fulfilled, but unlike the recurrent implication type described above, it does not imply that the events in question have already taken place several times in the past.

For this type of conditionals, the protasis can be either in the irrealis (80), in any other TAM form but the interrogative prefix *u-* (83) or with reduplication of the first syllable (81).

The linker *ny* is more generally used in such type of conditionals (81, 85, 83), though *tce* is also found.

Some real conditionals (implicative conditionals) are used to express general truths, as in (80), (81) or (82); these constructions, as with the recurrent implication conditionals presented above, are semantically very close to temporal clause chaining.

- (80) [a-nuu-ɲat-nuu] tce tu-tc^ha ny tu-tc^ha nuu, <dianxian>
 IRR-PFV-be.tired-PL LNK one-pair LNK one-pair DEM electric.wire
 u-taβ, q^he suku u-taβ nu tɕu tu-nuuna-nuu tce,
 3SG.POSS-on LNK treetop 3SG.POSS-on DEM LOC IPFV-rest-PL LNK
 If/Whenever (the swallows) are tired, they rest in pairs on electric wires or on trees. (Swallows 55)
- (81) my-nuyuu-mto tce [wuma zo
 NEG-FACILITATIVE-see:FACT LNK very EMPH

muu~my-puu-kuu-tso

ny mʻy-wy-mto

COND~NEG-PST.IPFV-GENR:S/P-understand LNK NEG-INV-see:FACT

It is not easy to spot, and unless one is not very knowledgeable already, one will not see it. (Onions, 7)

- (82) [*tʃe nunuu muu~my-tʻy-wy-nyvyle*] *tʃe nureri ku-ryzi tʃe*
 LNK DEM.PROX COND~NEG-PFV-INV-touch LNK there IPFV-remain LNK
 As long as one has not touched it, it remains there. (Wasps, 44)

In another type of real conditional (predictive conditionals), the apodosis expresses the probable future outcome if the condition in the protasis is fulfilled, for instance the action that a particular person intends to realize. The most common marking on the verb for predictive conditionals is reduplication of the first syllable of the verb form (85, 86).

An interrogative imperfective form in the protasis followed by an imperfective one in the apodosis can also be used to express a mild order or suggestion (83, 84).

- (83) [*uu-nyú-tuu-mbyom*] *ny tu-kuu-numgla-a*
 INTERROG-IPFV-2-be.in.a.hurry LNK IPFV-2→1-step.over-1SG
 If you are in a hurry, (you may) step over me. (The three sisters, 14)
- (84) [*uu-nyú-nuukumaʃ-a*] *ny nyu-kuu-suu-βzʃur-a*
 INTERROG-IPFV-make.a.mistake-1SG LNK IPFV-2→1-CAUS-change-1SG
 If I make a mistake, please correct me. (elicited)
- (85) [*muu~my-kuu-tsum-a-nuu*] *ny my-kʰam-a*
 COND~NEG-2→1-take.away:FACT-1SG-PL LNK NEG-give[III]:FACT-1SG
 Unless you take me (with you), I won't give it to you. (Flood1, 62)
- (86) [*ʃuu-ky-ru muu~my-puu-tuu-ʃʰa nyu*] *ny*
 TRANSLOC-INF-bring COND~NEG-PST.IPFV-2-can be:FACT LNK
ny-srym ny-sroʃ lyt-i
 2SG.POSS-root 2SG.POSS-life throw:FACT-1PL
 If you are not able to bring (the treasure) here, we will kill you. (Slobdpon1, 9)

This conditional construction is used to build linker-like phrases such as *nyu maʃ ny* 'otherwise' (see Section 4.3) and *tʃʰi maʃ ny* 'at least' which can be analyzed as in (87).

- (87) *nyu maʃ ny / tʃʰi maʃ ny*
 DEM not.be:FACT LNK what not.be:FACT LNK

The clause *tʃʰi maʃ ny* commonly occurs before another clause ending with the linker *tsaʃ* 'at least', as in (88).

- (88) *wortɕ^hiwojvr zo, tɕ^hi maɁ ny, [a-yi ra*
 please EMPH what not.be:FACT LNK 1SG.POSS-relative PL
nɯ-p^he ɕuɯ-ry-fɕvt-tɕi] tsak ma,
 3PL-DAT TRANSLOC-ANTIPASS-tell:FACT-DU at.least apart.from
ɣu-nuzduɣ-a-nɯ
 INV-worry.about:FACT-1SG-PL
 Please, at least let us go to tell my parents, otherwise they would be worried
 about me. (The fox, 70–1)

3.3.3 *Alternative concessive conditional*

To express the meaning that an outcome will occur whether or not the condition in the protasis is fulfilled, there is a specific construction in Japhug, in which we find a pair of conditional clauses. In the first pair, the protasis is in an affirmative form, while in the second it is in a negative form. The verb (or more generally, the copula) in the protasis is in the past imperfective with the autobenefactive/spontaneous prefix *nɯ-*, which is often geminated. Unlike other conditionals, the verb of the protasis is not reduplicated. It receives past imperfective ‘down’ marking *pɯ-* regardless of whether it is stative or dynamic, as shown by the examples (89) and (90).

- (89) *tɕe [tuɯ-sum pɯ-a<nɯ>ri] ny ju-kɯ-ɕe,*
 LNK INDEF.POSS-mind PFV-<AUTO>go[II] LNK IPFV-GENR:S/P-go
[mɯ-pɯ-a<nɯ>ri] ny ju-kɯ-ɕe pɯ-ra
 NEG-PFV-<AUTO>go[II] LNK IPFV-GENR:S/P-go PST.IPFV-have.to
 Whether one liked it or not, one had to go. (Relatives, 212)

The verb *nɣla* ‘agree’ normally receives the prefix *tv-* ‘up’, but when used in the protasis of such constructions, it is marked with the *pɯ-* ‘down’ prefix of past imperfective (in (90) in the direct 3→3 form *pa-*).

- (90) *[pa-n-nɣla] ny ɕe-a,*
 PST.IPFV:3→3-AUTO-agree LNK IPFV:go-1SG
[mɯ-pa-n-nɣla] ny ɕe-a ra
 NEG-PST.IPFV:3→3-AUTO-agree LNK IPFV:go-1SG have.to:FACT
 I will go whether he agrees or not. (elicited)

An alternative construction is to have a complex predicate in the protasis with the main verb in a finite form followed by the copula in the past imperfective with the *nɯ-* prefix (*pɯ-nɯ-nɣu* with the affirmative copula and *pɯ-nɯ-maɁ* with the negative one). For instance, (90) can be reformulated as (91) with the main verb *ta-nɣla* in the perfective without autobenefactive-spontaneous prefix.

- (91) *ta-nɣla pɯ-nɯ-nɣu pɯ-nɯ-maɁ*
 PFV:3→3-agree PST.IPFV-AUTO-be PST.IPFV-AUTO-not.be

ce-a ra
 IPFV:go-1SG have.to:FACT
 I will go whether he agrees or not. (elicited)

It is possible to have several protases followed by a single apodosis, as in (92).

- (92) [*tuu-ɕya puu-kuu-NGruu*
 INDEF.POSS-tooth PFV-NMLZ:S/A-ANTICAUS:break
puu-nnuu-ɲu,] [*puu-kuu-ɣɽtsur puu-nnuu-ɲu,*] *q^he,*
 PST.IPFV-AUTO-be PFV-NMLZ:S/A-crack PST.IPFV-AUTO-be LNK
 [*qajuu kuu tu-ndze puu-nnuu-ɲu,*] [*nuu fse*
 bug ERG IPFV-eat[III] PST.IPFV-AUTO-be DEM be.like:FACT
tu-kuu-mɲym puu-nnuu-ɲu,] *nuunuu kuu wuma zo niusmyn.*
 IPFV-NMLZ:S/A-hurt PST.IPFV-AUTO-be DEM ERG very EMPH heal:FACT
 Whether one's tooth is broken, cracked, whether one has a decayed tooth
 or whether it simply hurts, he (a particular dentist) treats it very well.
 (Toothache, 133)

This type of construction is related to, but different from, the complement clauses expressing an alternative between two possibilities, as in (93).

Here there is no apodosis, and the first two clauses are treated as the P argument of the verb *mɣxsi*.

- (93) [[*nuu ra puu-nnuu-ɲu*] [*puu-nnuu-maɁ*]] *mɣxsi ri*
 DEM PL PST.IPFV-AUTO-be PST.IPFV-AUTO-not.be GENR:A:NEG:know LNK
 I don't know whether this is true or not, (*k^huuli*, 60)

Another way of forming alternative concessive conditionals in Japhug is to use the polar interrogative sentence-final particle *ɕi*, as in (94) and (95).

- (94) [*nuunja ɲu*] *ɕi,* *mbro ɲu ma, pjuu-nyndvy*
 cow be:FACT INTRG horse be:FACT LNK IPFV-be.poisoned
jnuu-ɲgrɣl
 TESTIM-be.usually.the.case
 Whether it is a cow or a horse, they get poisoned. (bat, 19)
- (95) [*tɕ^horzi kuu-wxti ra*] *ɕi,*
 alcohol.jar NMLZ:S/A-be.big have.to:FACT INTERRG
kuu-xtɕi ra ɕi tɕ^hi yuu
 NMLZ:S/A-be.small have.to:FACT INTERRG what GEN
kuu-fse, nyki, u-ts^huyya nuu tu-βze
 NMLZ:S/A-be.like this 3SG.POSS-shape DEM IPFV-make[III]
ra ny nuunuu βjuutpa yvzu
 have.to:FACT LNK DEM idea exist:SENSORY

3.3.5 Counterfactual

Counterfactuals express the meaning that, had the condition in the protasis been verified (which it has not), the event in the apodosis would have occurred.

There are several constructions in Japhug to express counterfactual meaning. It is possible to use the same construction as that of real conditionals, as in (99).

- (99) [ku-ngo nuu smynba ku
 NMLZ:S/A-be.sick DEM doctor ERG
 muu~my-c-ta-nuismyn] ny, si cti.
 COND~NEG-TRANSLOC-PFV:3→3'-treat LNK die:FACT be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT
 If the doctor had not gone to treat the patient, he would have died
 (elicitation).

Alternatively, there is another construction with the verb in the apodosis in the past imperfective with the prefix *puu-*, as in (100).

- (100) [smyñ za tsa tu-ndze-a a-puu-ñu] tçe
 medicine early a.little IPFV-eat[III]-1SG IRR-IPFV-be LNK
 muu-puu-ngo-a
 NEG-PST.IPFV-be.sick-1SG
 If I had taken my medicine earlier, I would not have gotten sick. (elicited)

While dynamic verbs do not appear in the past imperfective in independent clauses, they do in the apodosis of this counterfactual construction. This phenomenon is detectable only for verbs whose intrinsic directional prefix is not the 'down' direction (see Section 2.1.1). For instance, the verb *rpu* 'bump into' receives the *ky-* 'toward east' direction marker when used in meaning 'bump one' head'.

- (101) ny-k^ha ly-ye-a ri, a-ku
 2SG.POSS-house PFV:UPSTREAM-come[II]-1SG LNK 1SG.POSS-head
 ky-nuu-rpu-t-a
 PFV-AUTO-bump.into-PST:TR-1SG
 When I came to your house, I bumped my head. (elicitation based on real events)

Used in the apodosis of the counterfactual as in (102) however, we find the 'down' prefix *puu-* instead of *ky-*, indicating that this is a past imperfective, not a perfective form.

- (102) ny-k^ha ly-ye-a ri, [a-ku
 2SG.POSS-house PFV:UPSTREAM-come[II]-1SG LNK 1SG.POSS-head
 pjuu-p^haβ-a a-puu-ñu] tçe
 IPFV-lower-1SG IRR-PST.IPFV-be LNK

mu-pu-nu-rpu-t-a.

NEG-PST.IPFV-AUTO-bump.into-PST:TR-1SG

When I came to your house, if I had lowered my head, I would not have bumped it. (elicitation)

3.3.6 Hypothetical

Hypothetical conditionals refer to a future hypothetical situation, unlike counterfactuals which refer to a potential situation in the past which did not occur. It can also express the hypothetical nature of the causal relation between the two events. This construction differs from all other conditionals in that the verb of the apodosis is in the irrealis as in (103).

- (103) *azo a-sum tce, nu-bruu zo yvzu*
 1SG 1SG.POSS-thought LNK 3SG.POSS-horn EMPH exist:SENSORY
cti tce [ku-du~dyn ku
 be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT LNK NMLZ:S/A-EMPH~be.many ERG
a-ky-nuuts^hyβ-nuu] tce [a-ty-tc^hu-nuu] tce,
 IRR-PFV-attack.together-PL LNK IRR-PFV-gore-PL LNK
a-pu-sat-nuu ku juu-susam-a ri nuu ra
 IRR-PFV-kill-PL HYPOTHETICAL IPFV-think[III]-1SG LNK DEM PL
múj-stu-nuu
 NEG:TESTIM-do.like-PL
 In my opinion, they have horns, I think that if they attacked together and gored the leopards, they would kill them, but they don't do that. Instead... (Wild yak, 60–3)

Example (104) illustrates a hypothetical conditional (with both the verb in the protasis and the apodosis in the irrealis) followed by a predictive conditional.

- (104) [*a-pu-tu-c^ha*] *ny, nu*
 IRR-PST.IPFV-2-can LNK DEM
a-t^hu-tu-suu-jyvt ra ma
 IRR-PFV:DOWNSTR-2-CAUS-turn.around have.to:FACT otherwise
 [*nu u-my-pu-tu-c^ha*] *q^he tce azo*
 DEM INTERROG-NEG-PST.IPFV-2-can LNK LNK 1SG
mý-wy-suy-c^ha-a
 NEG-INV-CAUS-can:FACT-1SG
 If you are strong enough, you will have to cause him to go back, otherwise if you are not able to do that, I will be unable (to retrieve the water). (Stealing the water1, 40)

It is also possible to have a non-irrealis verb in the protasis, with a reduplicated first syllable as in (105), even in the case of very speculative conjectures.

- (105) [nyzo zuɸndza ky-lyt pu~pu-tu-βjyt] ny,
 2SG banquet INF-throw COND~PFV-2-obtain LNK
 ny-zuɸndza yu u-smyt u-rku tɕu
 2SG.POSS-banquet GEN 3SG.POSS-lower.side 3SG.POSS-side LOC
 azo a-jv-zyut-a smulym
 1SG IRR-PFV-reach-1SG prayer
 If you succeed (in becoming rich and) organizing a banquet, may it be that I
 will arrive there at the rear of your banquet. (Raven4, 114)

4. Consequence

In Consequence clause linkings, one clause expresses the cause and the other one its effect. However, while in some constructions the subordinate clause corresponds to the cause and the main clause to the effect, the opposite situation is also attested.

Dixon (2009: 17, 44) distinguishes three subtypes (Cause, Result and Purpose), but we collapse here the first two categories for ease of presentation. Table 9 summarizes the attested constructions.

Table 9. Consequence linking constructions

Clause linking type	Construction
Cause / result	SC with linker <i>matçi</i> or <i>ma</i> 'because' MC with linker <i>nündza</i> 'for this reason'
Purpose	Purposive converb in the SC linker <i>utç^huβ</i> 'in order to' in the SC
Possible consequence	linker <i>ma</i> + verb in factual form in the MC subordinate clause with the verb <i>suuso</i> 'think' expressing the consequence

4.1 Cause-Result

There are two main constructions in Japhug explicitly expressing a causal relationship between two clauses.

The most common construction involves the linker *matçi* 'because', which is prosodically associated with the clause expressing the cause. The placement of the

linker is the evidence for considering this clause to be subordinate and the clause expressing the result to be the main clause.¹⁶

This construction can be used to express strong causality as in (106) or (107).

- (106) *tce nunuu tú-wy-yuɕkat tce [u-sno yú-ta*
 LNK DEM IPFV-INV-pack.on LNK 3SG.POSS-saddle INV-put:FACT
múj-ra] matɕi, u-βri nuu tɕu
 NEG:TESTIM:have.to because 3SG.POSS-body DEM LOC
ty-sno kuu-fse yɣ<nuu>zu
 INDEF.POSS-body NMLZ:S/A-be.like <AUTO>exist:SENSORY
ɕti tce,
 be.ASSERTIVE:FACT LNK

When one puts packs on (camels), there is no need to put a saddle, because they already have something like a saddle on their body. (Camel, 210)

- (107) [*paɕ yuu u-yli dyn] matɕi,*
 pig GEN 3SG.POSS-manure be.many:FACT because
my-ndze zo me q^he u-yli dyn
 NEG-eat[III]:FACT EMPH not.exist:FACT LNK 3SG.POSS-manure be.many:FACT
 Pigs have a lot of manure, because they eat anything, so they have a lot of manure. (Pig, 101)

One finds it also in examples such as (108) or (109), where there is no necessary causal implication between the event/situation of the subordinate clause and that of the main clause.

- (108) [*muu-to-k^huu] q^he matɕi, tumuu kuu-yrɣi*
 NEG-EVD-agree LNK because sky NMLZ:S/A-blue
uu-me pjy-ɕti-nuu tce
 3SG.POSS-daughter EVD.IPFV-be:ASSERTIVE-PL LNK
 She did not agree, as they were daughters of the heavens, (Flood3, 60)

- (109) [*wuma zo pjy-syscit] matɕi kyndzyts^hi ri*
 very EMPH EVD.IPFV-nice because food also
pjy-dyn, tce ky-nyɓaɓ ri ɓʒa zo
 EVD.IPFV-many LNK INF-have.a.good.time also entirely EMPH
pjy-ɕti
 EVD.IPFV-be:ASSERTIVE

It was very nice, as there was a lot of food and they were having a good time all the time. (Flood3, 87)

16. This is a case where Dixon's terms 'supporting' vs 'focal' clause may be more appropriate, but we keep the traditional terminology for consistency.

A variant of this construction with the linker *ma* is also attested as in (110). Unlike *matci*, this linker presents many other uses (in particular, possible consequence (4.3)).

- (110) *tčendyre aβvnduundyt zo ɕ-tu-nvryama-nu ri*
 LNK everywhere EMPH TRANSLOC-IPFV-pray.for.rain-PL LNK
 [*kui-p^hyn pjv-me*] *ma zuβdaβ nu*
 NMLZ:S/A-efficient EVD.IPFV-not.exist because mountain.god DEM
ra tu-ci u-kui-yro pjv-me
 PL INDEF.POSS-water 3SG-NMLZ:S/A-possess EVD.IPFV-not.exist
 People went everywhere to pray for water, but it was for nothing, because
 none of the mountain gods had water. (Kamnyu mountains1, 17)

An alternative construction expressing a causal relationship between two clauses is built by using the noun *ndza* ‘reason’ or its derived form *núndza* ‘for this reason’ in the main clause. The adverb *núndzacan* appear either between the subordinate and the main clause (as in (111)) or after it (as in (112)). It is used to focalize the causal relationship between the events/ situations of the two clauses.

- (111) [*tče u-mtiu yvzu*] *tče, tče núndza*
 LNK 3SG.POSS-crest SENSORY:exist LNK LNK for.this.reason
qapvmtuuntiu tu-ti-nuu juu-ŋu
 hoopoe IPFV-say-PL TESTIM-be
 It has a crest, and this is the reason why it is called ‘hoopoe’. (Hoopoe, 20)
- (112) *k^hu nu sqammui-xpa muu-ty-tsu mvctša mv-rypu*
 tiger DEM fifteen-year NEG-PFV-reach until NEG-bear.young:FACT
tu-ti-nuu juu-ŋu tče, tče núndza nu, k^hu nu
 IPFV-say-PL TESTIM-be LNK LNK for.this.reason DEM tiger DEM
juu-rkuun. k^hu nu juu-rkuun tče núndza
 TESTIM-be.rare tiger DEM TESTIM-be.rare LNK for.this.reason
juu-ŋu tu-ti-nuu juu-ŋu
 TESTIM-be IPFV-say-PL TESTIM-be
 They say that the tiger does not bear young until it has reached fifteen years,
 and for this reason tigers are rare. Tigers are rare for this reason, they say.
 (Mule 46)

In answer to questions, it is common for the main clause to be elided and to only have the subordinate clause with the markers *ndza* or *núndza*, as in (113).¹⁷

- (113) *maβ juu-γvk^hu ndza ɕti*

17. This is the response to the question *a-ty-cime, tɕ^hi ku-tui-γvwu? mv-kui-pe yvzu uβrvŋu?* ‘My lady, why are you crying? Are you feeling unwell?’

not.be:FACT TESTIM-be.smoky reason be:ASSERTIVE:FACT
 No, (I am crying) because there is smoke. (The three sisters, 222)

4.2 Purpose

Purposive clause linking, unlike the previous constructions, indicates that the causal relationship between the two clauses is intentional. There are two main constructions in Japhug expressing this meaning: the purposive converb and the linker *utç^huβ* ‘in order to’.¹⁸ In Japhug, as in most languages, the semantic relationship between the main and the subordinate clause is the opposite of that of other consequence linkings: the cause is expressed in the main clause (which corresponds to Dixon’s ‘supporting clause’ in this case) and the effect in the subordinate clause (the ‘focal clause’).

The purposive converb marking the verb of the subordinate clause (the purpose of the action described in the subordinate clause), is formed by combining a possessive prefix, an imperfective prefix, the prefix *sv-/svz-/z-* and a reduplicated form of the verb. The imperfective prefix is sometimes elided (114), and there are examples of the purposive converb without reduplication (115).

When the arguments of the subordinate and the main clause are coreferent, the subordinate clause with purposive converb can be embedded within the main clause as an adjunct as in (115).

- (114) [*ku-lyy acyβ nu ku u-my-sv-jmuu~jmuut,*]
 NMLZ:S/A-herd Askyabs DEM ERG 3SG-NEG-PURP:CONV-forget
u-p^huŋgu nu tçu rdystaβ-piupui tç^hurdu ci
 3SG.POSS-inside.clothes DEM LOC stone-little pebble INDEF
ŋy-rku,
 EVD-put.in
 The cowboy Askyabs put a little pebble inside his clothes so that he would not forget it. (Frogs, 166)

Alternatively, it can occur before the main clause as in (115) or after it (120b).

- (115) *tçe nu u-pa nunu li k^hyxtu nunu,*
 LNK DEM 3SG.POSS-under DEM again platform DEM
tui-ci, tuftsaβ ku pjuu-suu-spoβ
 INDEF.POSS-water leaking.water ERG IPFV-CAUS-have.a.hole
ŋgrv tçe, tçe
 be.usually.the.case:FACT LNK LNK

18. The purposive clause of motion verbs will not be treated here (see Jacques (2013a) for more details).

[*u-my-pju-sv-su-spok*], *nunu tɕu* [...]
 3SG-NEG-IPFV-CONV:PURP-CAUS-have.a.hole DEM LOC [...]
cuɣpa ku-fse juú-wy-ta tɕe,
 flat.stone NMLZ:S/A-be.like IPFV-INV-put LNK
 Under the top platform, the water, the leaking water can leak through (the
 roof), and in order to prevent it from leaking through, people put flat stones
 there. (Water jar, 11)

In the case of transitive verbs, the possessive prefix can refer either to the agent (as
 in 116) or the patient (117).

- (116) *maɣ ma [a-my-juu-sv-jmuu~jmuut]*
 not.be:FACT because 1SG-NEG-IPFV-CONV:PURP-forget
nuu-rku-t-a ɕti ma
 PFV-put.in-PST:TR-1SG be:AFFIRMATIVE:FACT because
 No, I put it there so that I would not forget (to tell you). (Frogs, 172)

In (117), it would alternatively be possible to use the first singular form of the pur-
 posive converb *a-my-tu-sv-rpu-rpu* without changing the meaning.

- (117) *kum juu-mbyr tɕe, [a-ku*
 door TESTIM-low LNK 1SG.POSS-head
u-my-tu-sv-rpu~rpu] pu-p^haβ-a
 3SG-NEG-IPFV-CONV:PURP-bump PFV-lower-1SG
 As the door is low, I lowered my head so as not to bump it.

Although all examples of the converb in our corpus are negative, it is possible to
 elicit affirmative forms as in (118) without restriction.

- (118) *fso tɕe [a-tu-sv-numtɕu~mtɕi]* *za*
 tomorrow LNK 1SG-IPFV-CONV:PURP-get.up.early early
ku-nuu-rɣu-a ra
 IPFV-AUTO-lie.down-1SG have.to:FACT
 In order to get up early tomorrow, I have to go to bed soon. (elicited)

An alternative way of expressing purposive meaning is to use the linker *utɕ^huβ*
 ‘in order to’ after the purposive clause. The verb can be either in a finite form or in
 the infinitive. Thus, the main clause in (119d) can be preceded by any of (a)–(c).
 This construction is extremely rare in the corpus (only one example was found).

- (119) a. *my-ky-nyndzo utɕ^huβ,* /
 IRR-NEG-PFV-2-feel.cold in.order.to
 b. *a-my-nuu-tu-nyndzo utɕ^huβ,* /
 IRR-NEG-PFV-2-feel.cold in.order.to

- c. *ny-my-nuu-sy-nyndzɯ~ndzɔ*, /
2SG-NEG-IPFV-CONV:PURP-feel.cold
- d. *tu-ŋga kuu-jab tsa ty-ŋge*
INDEF.POSS-clothes NMLZ:S/A-thick a.little IMP-wear[III]
Wear thick clothes, so that you don't get cold. (elicitation)

The reverse order between main and subordinate clauses is also attested, as illustrated by (120b) and (120c), which follow the same main clause (120a).

- (120) a. *tu-ŋga kuu-jab tsa ty-ŋge tce*
INDEF.POSS-clothes NMLZ:S/A-thick a.little IMP-wear[III] LNK
- b. *a-my-nuu-tu-nyndzɔ utʃ^huβ a-puu-ŋu*
IRR-NEG-PFV-2-feel.cold in.order.to IRR-IPFV-be
- c. *ny-my-nuu-sy-nyndzɯ~ndzɔ a-puu-ŋu*
2SG-NEG-IPFV-CONV:PURP-feel.cold IRR-IPFV-be
Wear thick clothes, so that you don't get cold. (elicitation)

This construction is used in particular for expressing contrastive focus in the purposive clause.

4.3 Possible consequence

Possible consequence is a type of clause linking expressing that the event in one clause should be undertaken in order to prevent that of the other clause to take place, as the latter is viewed as an unfavourable result.

There is no dedicated construction expressing possible consequence in Japhug. The linker *ma* is used with a verb in the irrealis (121), imperative (122, 124) or other TAM categories (123) in the subordinate clause and a verb in the factual in the main clause (expressing the unfavourable result). The adverb *t^ha* or its variant *tʃet^ha* 'later, in a moment' often appear in the main clause of possible consequence linking (123, 124, 128).

- (121) [*turme ra kuu a-my-ty-ndo-nuu*] *ma*
people PL ERG IRR-NEG-PFV-take-PL LNK
ɣuu-z-nyndy-nuu
INV-CAUS-be.poisoned:FACT-PL
People should not touch it, otherwise they would get poisoned. (False matsutake, 26)
- (122) [*ty-ruundzanyspa*] *ma tu-atyr*
IMP-be.careful LNK 2-fall.down:FACT
Be careful not to fall down. (conversation, 2010)

jnu-yɔme *ɕti]* *ma nu maɣ ny*
 IPFV-destroy be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT LNK DEM not.be:FACT LNK
βzɯ rcanu turme u-taɣ myzɯ ɛnyɔ,
 mouse TOP:EMPH people 3SG-on more be.harmful:FACT
 In the house, the cats eat them, they destroy them, otherwise the mice are
 harmful to people. (The mice, 165)

- (128) *ky-rɣrɣit zatsa mda ty-ŋu tɕe, tɕ^heme nu*
 INF-have.a.child soon be.the.time:FACT PFV-be LNK woman DEM
k^hro tu-kuu-rɣrɣma tɕe [*<huodong> tú-wy-βzu*
 a.lot IPFV-GENR:S/P-work LNK activity IPFV-INV-make
ra] *ma nu maɣ ny tɕe^ha tyɣytso*
 have.to:FACT LNK textscdem not.be:FACT LNK later child
ky-sci nqa tu-ti-nu ŋɣrɣl.
 INF-be.born be.difficult:FACT IPFV-say-PL be.usually.the.case:FACT
 When they are about to have a child, women have to work a lot and be
 active, otherwise childbirth is difficult, they say. (Conversation, Chenzhen.)

Another construction attested for possible consequence involves a clause with ergative (similar to the Manner linking) of the verb *suso* ‘to think’. It can be a finite verb as (129) or the infinitive *ky-suso* as in (130) and (131), but in both cases it takes a finite complement clause. In this case the subordinate clause expresses the unfavourable result.

There is necessary coreference between the A of the infinitival clause and the S/A of the main clause, but not with the complement clause of the *ky-suso*.

Constructions involving reported speech are also attested in the possible consequence clause linking of Galo and Kham (Post 2009: 86, 88 and Watters 2009: 110), but their semantics are quite different from this construction.

- (129) [*a-mi nuunu a-ty-mna jnu-susam-a]* *tɕe,*
 1SG.POSS-foot DEM IRR-PFV-feel.better IPFV-think[III]-1SG LNK
nu ra ku-z-nusman-a ŋu.
 DEM PL PRES-CAUS-treat-1SG be:FACT
 I would like my feet to feel better, and so I treat them with (these medicine).
 (conversation, 2013)

- (130) [*nuuɕe]* *ky-suso ku, u-mbro nuunu taqaβ*
 go.back:FACT INF-think ERG 3SG.POSS-horse DEM needle
ɕ^hy-z-nuutɕ^haɣ-nu, u-k^huana nu rkorsa u-pa
 EVD-CAUS-eat-PL 3SG.POSS-dog DEM toilet 3SG.POSS-down
lo-ja-nu
 EVD-pen-PL

Thinking that he (was about to) go back, they fed his horse with needles and penned his dog in the toilets. (Gesar 250–1)

An interesting aspect of the complement clause embedded within the infinitival clause is the fact that, it reflects in some cases hybrid reported speech (on this concept see Tournadre 2008 and Aikhenvald 2008).

- (131) *ny-wa* *kuu* [*nyzo nuuyi*] *ky-suuso* *kuu* *k^ha*
 2SG.POSS-father ERG 2SG come.back:FACT INF-think ERG house
u-rkuu *втав* *χsu-tyxuur* *pa-su-lyt*
 3SG.POSS-side soldier three-circle PFV:3→3'-CAUS-throw
çti *tçe*
 be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT LNK
 Your father, thinking that you would come back, put three circles of soldiers
 around the house. (The fox, 154)

In (131), there are three referents involved, the father (A), the addressee (B) and the speaker (C). We see that the verb *nuuyi* ‘he will come back’ is in third person singular form and reflects the point of view of referent A, while the overt pronoun *nyzo* ‘2sg’ reflects the addressee. This mismatch could be paraphrased in English as ‘thinking of you ‘he will come back...’. Despite the agreement mismatch, [*nyzo nuuyi*] can be assumed to be monoclausal and to form a single constituent for two reasons. First, in this example as well as all examples exhibiting hybrid reported speech in the corpus, there is no pause between the noun phrase or pronoun and the verb form. Second, the noun phrase / pronoun can only appear in the same position as it would have in an independent clause, and no extraposition is possible.

Although Japhug does have an apprehensive marker (see example (154)), unlike Aguaruna this form is not used in Possible Consequence linkings (compare with Overall 2009: 187).

5. Addition

The Addition clause linkings are defined negatively in Dixon (2009:26) as all those which cannot be included in the other categories that he distinguishes. In Japhug, there are specific constructions expressing the meanings associated with several categories of addition clause linkings, in particular Elaboration and Contrast. Moreover, as in Kham (Watters 2009: 113), we find an ‘alternating actions’ clause linking.

As shown in Table 10, no addition clause linking construction involves converbs.

Table 10. Addition linking constructions

Clause linking type	Construction
Unordered addition	Parataxis
	Coordination with <i>tce</i>
Elaboration	Parataxis
	Comitative postposition <i>c^ho</i>
	Correlative linkers <i>tci</i> or <i>ri</i> in both clauses
Alternating actions	verb + <i>ny</i> + verb
Contrast	Parataxis
	Contrastive linker <i>ri</i>
	Contrastive focalizers <i>bo</i> and <i>ndvye</i>
	Adversative linker <i>m^hyrvz</i> ‘instead’
	Linker <i>labma</i> ‘only, just’ at the end of the MC
	Linker <i>jimbala</i> ‘although’

5.1 Unordered addition

The Unordered Addition linkings describe two distinct events that are related but for which neither a temporal sequence nor a causal relationship can be assumed.

In Japhug, this type of minimal semantic link between two clauses is expressed by using two finite clauses with the linkers *tce* and *tçendvye* as in (132). Unlike the temporal succession linking (3.1), unordered addition is not expressed by the linker *q^he*, which always implies a temporal order between two events.

- (132) *zara xsum ma pjv-me-nuu tce tçendvye*
 they three apart.from EVD.IPFV-not.exist-PL LNK LNK
nu-nuŋa ci pjv-tu.
 3PL.POSS-COW INDEF EVD.IPFV-exist
 They were only three (brothers), and had a cow. (The flood3, 3)

5.2 Elaboration

In the Elaboration clause linking, the second clause provides addition information on the event or situation described in the first clause. In Japhug, we observe two distinct constructions depending on the locus of the additional information (predicate vs arguments).

When the additional information is on the predicate, the Elaboration linking is expressed by two constructions. First, simple parataxis, with optional pause between the two predicates, can convey this meaning as in (133).

- (133) *u-p^hoŋbu ra nuu-wxti, nuu-ts^hu zo.*
 3SG.POSS-body PL TESTIM-big TESTIM-fat EMPH
 Its body is big and fat. (Bees, 12)

Second, the comitative postposition *c^ho* or its compound form *c^hondyre* can be used to link the two clauses. The syntactic structure of this clause linking, despite superficial resemblance to the Unordered Addition, is quite different: whereas the linkers *tɕe* and *q^he* are not syntactically anchored either in the clause preceding or following it (see (2.4)), *c^ho* is actually the syntactic head of the clause preceding it. The elaboration linking is thus not a flat syntactic structure.

Example (134) illustrates the use of *c^ho* in elaboration clause linking, connecting two finite clauses with stative verbs sharing the same S without any overt noun phrase.

- (134) *qambriu u-rme nuu-fse q^he, nuu-dyn*
 yak 3SG.POSS-hair TESTIM-be.like LNK TESTIM-be.many
c^ho nuu-rŋji.
 COMIT TESTIM-be.long
 (The camel's hairs) are like that of the yak, there are many and they are long.
 (Camel, 77)

- (135) *nunu u-mdzu rcanu, wuma zo mtɕoɓ*
 DEM 3SG.POSS-thorn TOP.EMPH really EMPH be.sharp:FACT
c^hondyre χɕu
 COMIT be.hard:FACT
 As for its thorns, they are very sharp and hard. (NGolo, 2)

Although in most examples one of the clauses is limited to a verb, this is not necessarily the case, as shown by examples (136) and (137).

- (136) *nuu ma tɕ^hi sna c^ho tɕ^hi c^ha ra mɔxsi*
 DEM apart.from what be.good:FACT COMIT what can:FACT PL NEG:GENR:know
 Apart from that, I don't know what it is good for and what it can do. (little leech, 153)

Clause linkings in *c^ho* can occur as protasis of a conditional linking. In this case, each of the conditions expressed by a distinct clause in the protasis must be fulfilled for the event in the apodosis to take place, as in (137).

- (137) *tce nuu u-ryi a-my-puu-ce ra*
 LNK DEM 3SG.POSS-grain IRR-NEG-PFV:down-go have.to:FACT
ma pjuu-tsyi my-c^ha tce tce^hdyre [a-nuu-yci
 LNK IPFV-be.rotten NEG-can:FACT LNK LNK IRR-PFV-get.wet
zo q^he c^ho ftcar a-ky-ndzov zo q^he] li
 EMPH LNK COMIT summer IRR-PFV-be.attached EMPH LNK again
tu-łov çti
 IPFV-come.out be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT
 One should not let its grains go into (the ground), because they cannot rot,
 and when they get wet and spring comes, they grow again. (Rye, 46–7)

On the other hand, when the additional information is on the arguments, the correlative linkers *tci* and *ri* ‘also’ are used. This construction is used either when the predicates are identical in all clauses in the linking (139) or belong to the same semantic field (138, 140).

- (138) *ça tci juu-ndze, çyci tci juu-ts^hi*
 meat also TESTIM-eat[III] meat.stew also TESTIM-drink
ty-lu ta-mar tci juu-ndze
 INDEF.POSS-milk INDEF.POSS-butter also TESTIM-eat[III]
 (Pigs) eat meat, drink meat stew, and also eat butter. (Pigs, 29–30)

- (139) *cymu nunuu u-bruu tci me,*
 female.muskdeer DEM 3SG.POSS-horn also not.exist:FACT
u-ndzyi tci me.
 3SG.POSS-tusk also not.exist:FACT
 The female musk deer has neither horns nor tusks. (muskdeer, 34)

- (140) *nunuu u-p^hu ri ku-wxti uzo ri*
 DEM 3SG.POSS-price also NMLZ:S/A-be.big 3SG also
ku-sna ŋu.
 NMLZ:S/A-be.worthy be:FACT
 That one (silver) is expensive and precious. (Metals, 191)

The correlative linker *ri* found in (140) must be distinguished from the phrasal adversative linker *ri* used in Contrast linking (Section 5.4).

5.3 Alternating or repeated actions

In order to express two actions occurring one after the other repeatedly, we find finite verb forms with the linker *ny*, as in (141).

- (141) *tç^heme nunuu tce k^hyxtu nuu tce, [ku-ce] ny*
 girl DEM LNK platform DEM LNK IPFV:east-go LNK

nyu-yi tce nyu-nyruura ma nuu ma
 IPFV:west-come LNK IPFV-look.around because DEM apart.from
ryma muu-pjv-ra.

work:FACT NEG-EVD:IPFV-have.to

The girl would come and go on the platform and look around, as she did not have any work to do. (Raven4, 134)

The linker *ny*, used with the same verb, indicates an action that either takes a long period of time or occurs repeatedly (142).

- (142) *k^ha yu u-pci ri tu-nurɕurɕa [tu-ɕe] ny*
 house GEN 3SG-outside LOC IPFV-climb IPFV:UP-go LNK
tu-ɕe tce, nuunu <wulou> <liulou> jamar tu-zyut
 IPFV:UP-go LNK DEM fifth.floor sixth.floor about IPFV:UP-reach
nyu-c^ha.
 TESTIM-can

It climbs on the (wall) outside of the house all the way up and can reach the fifth or sixth floors. (Slugs, 134)

Constructions with similar semantics involving nouns or ideophones are also attested (see Section 2.4).

5.4 Contrast

The Contrast linking expresses that the information contained in one clause strongly contrasts with or is unexpected in view of the other clause. Japhug has seven distinct constructions for expressing this meaning, some of which are shared with the rejection linking (6.2).

First, we find paratactic clause linkings with predicates of opposite meaning (such as *dyn* ‘many, a lot’ and *rkuun* ‘few’¹⁹ in example (143) without any overt linker, adverb or postposition marking contrast.

- (143) *suungu tce dyn tsa, kumav nuu ra rkuun*
 forest LNK be.many:FACT a.little other DEM PL be.few:FACT
 There are a lot in the forest, fewer in other places. (*paɓtsa rna*, 133)

Second, the contrastive linkers *ri* ‘but’ and its compound form *tçeri* can be used between two finite clauses. This is the most common construction used to express contrast.

19. The stative verb *rkuun* ‘be few’ is often used as a euphemism for ‘non-existent’ in Japhug.

- (144) [tɕe ky-nyre pjy-tɕyt] ri u-mqyj pjy-tu
 LNK INF-laugh EVD-take.out LNK 3SG.POSS-scolding EVD.IPFV-exist
 He made a joke, but he was scolded. (The naughty boy, 22)
- (145) [uʒo si wxti] ri, u-muontoʁ ku-ndu~nduβ
 3SG tree be.big;FACT LNK 3SG.POSS-flower NMLZ:S/A-EMPH~small
 ʒo ju-lyt ŋu
 EMPH IPFV-throw N.PST:be
 It is a big tree, but it grows very small flowers. (*t^hwum*, 29)

Third, the contrastive focalizers *ndyre* and *ʁo* ‘on the other hand’ can appear after a noun phrase or an infinitival clause to insist on a difference with a previously mentioned referent.

- (146) zara ku pu-ky-nuu-ji ci yvzu tɕe, nuu
 they ERG PFV-NMLZ:P-AUTO-plant INDEF exist:SENSORY LNK DEM
ndyre muj-muum.
 CONTRAST:FOC NEG:TESTIM-be.tasty
 There is one which is grown by people, but that one is not tasty (unlike the previous one). (Edible black mushroom 17–8)
- (147) paʁ ku tɕi ndze, nuŋa ku tɕi ndze. tɕe [turme
 pig ERG too eat[III]:FACT cow ERG too eat[III]:FACT LNK people
 ky-ndza] *ndyre* my-sna.
 INF-eat CONTRAST:FOC NEG-be.good:FACT
 Pigs eat it, cows eat it, but it is not good for people to eat. (*tɕ^hemekytsa* 120)

The focalizer *ʁo* differs from *ndyre* in that it implies that the content of the sentence is self-evident (like Chinese *dào* 倒); it is often used together with the adverb *luski* ‘of course’.

- (148) xɕiri ky-ti ci tu tɕe, nuu ʁo
 weasel NMLZ:P-say INDEF exist:FACT LNK DEM CONTRAST:FOC
 ku-xtɕu~xtɕi ci ɕti, [...] βzuu
 NMLZ:S/A-EMPH~small INDEF be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT [...] mouse
ndyre my-zuu. βzuu syz *ndyre*
 CONTRAST:FOC NEG-be.just:FACT mouse COMP CONTRAST:FOC
 wxti ŋu,
 be.big:FACT be:FACT
 There is (an animal) called the weasel, this one on the other hand (by contrast with the wolf, which was discussed before) is small, though not as small as a mouse. It is bigger than a mouse. (Weasel, 1)

Fourth, the adversative adverb *mýrvz* ‘instead’ (Chinese *fǎn’ér* 反而) is used to express a result contrary to expectations, as in (149).

- (149) *my-ku-mda* *tú-wy-tçyaɁ* *q^he, mýrvz*
 NEG-NMLZ:S/A-be.time IPFV-INV-squeeze.out LNK instead
ty-se *tu-łoɁ* *nu-ŋu.*
 INDEF.POSS-blood IPFV:UP-come.out TESTIM-be
 If one squeezes (the pimple) too early, blood comes out instead (not pus).
 (Pimples, 133)

Fifth, the postposition *ma* ‘apart from’ between two clauses of opposite polarity is used to insist on the semantic opposition between them. It is superficially similar to the causal linker *ma* ‘because’, but examples such as (150) show no causal relationship between the two clauses. This construction also occurs with the Rejection linking (6.2).

- (150) *tce* [*ku-χçu* *ra nu-c^ha-nu*] *ma*
 LNK NMLZ:S/A-be.strong PL TESTIM-can-PL apart.from
my-ku-χçu *ra múj-c^ha-nu.*
 NEG-NMLZ:S/A-be.strong PL NEG:TESTIM-can-PL
 Those who are strong are able to do it, and those who aren’t can’t do it.
 (Parasitic larva, 22)

The linker *laɁma* ‘apart from the fact that, only, just’ is placed at the end of the main clause. Its meaning is slightly similar to *ma* ‘apart from’, but differs from it in that it adds the additional meaning that of two related events/situations, only that of the main clause is fulfilled (as in (152)). It can also indicate that the event/situation of the subordinate clause is basically true except for the minor counter evidence in the main clause (as in (151)). The main clause can either follow (151) or precede (152) the subordinate clause in this construction.

- (151) [*u-ku* *nu ra içq^ha* *qarts^haz u-ku*
 3SG.POSS-head DEM PL the.aforementioned deer 3SG.POSS-head
wuma zo *fse,*] *u-bruu* *maŋe* *laɁma.*
 really EMPH be.like:FACT 3SG.POSS-horn not.exist:SENSORY apart.from
 Its head is like that of a deer, apart from the fact that it has no horns. (Water deer, 24)

- (152) *tce* *ŋotçu ku-tu* *nu ra suz-a* *laɁma,*
 LNK where NMLZ:S/A-exist DEM PL know:FACT-1SG apart.from
ju-çe-a *múj-c^ha-a.*
 IPFV-go-1SG NEG:TESTIM-can-1SG
 I only know where they are, I cannot go there. (*zmbuulum*, 63)

Sixth, the negative copula *maβ* ‘not be’ followed by the ergative *ku* can be used to focus on the opposition between two predicates as in (153). The same construction also appears as a type of Rejection linking (6.2).

- (153) [*ku-mpɕu*] *maβ* *ku nu-ku-rβom*
 NMLZ:S/A-be.smooth not.be:FACT ERG PFV-NMLZ:S/A-be.rough
ku-fse *brybryβ* *ŋu tɕe*,
 NMLZ:S/A-be.like IDEO:II:coarse.and.irregular be:FACT LNK
 It is not smooth, it is rough, coarse and irregular. (Mill, 172)

Finally, there is a complex linker *jinbala zuu* ‘although’ comprising the locative *zuu* and the form *jinbala* borrowed from Tibetan *jin.pa.la* (be-NMLZ-all). This form is not used in colloquial Japhug, and appears only in a few stories told by elders as in (154).

- (154) *tɕe [rɣɣlpu nu nu-rga]* *jinbala zuu*, ‘e, *a-tɕu*
 LNK king DEM PFV-be.happy although LOC INTERJ 1SG.POSS-son
ki *stɣβts^hyt mu-ɕu-c^ha* *ku* *ŋɣ-suɔ*
 DEM:PROX contest NEG-APPREHENSIVE-can:FACT POSSIBILITY EVD-think
 Although the king was pleased, he thought ‘Ah, I fear that my son will not
 succeed in this contest.’ (The prince, 91–92)

6. Alternatives

Alternative linkings are used when the situation/event in both clauses are mutually exclusive. They include two subcategories, Disjunction and Rejection linking.

Table 11. Alternative linking constructions

Clause linking type	Construction
Disjunction	<i>nu maβ nɣ</i> ‘otherwise’ polar interrogative <i>ɕi</i>
Rejection	postposition <i>ma</i> ‘apart from’ negative copula <i>maβ</i> ‘not be’ in the SC

6.1 Disjunction

There is no linker specialized for expressing disjunction in Japhug like English *either ... or*. We find two distinct strategies for disjunction linking.

First, in the case of affirmative sentences, the phrase *nu maβ nɣ* ‘otherwise’ (literally ‘if it is not’), which is also used in Possible Consequence linking (4.3) is

repeated in both alternative clauses as in (155). Ellipsis of the verb in the second clause is not possible.

- (155) *nu maḅ ny ty^hu tu-kuu-ti, nu*
 DEM not.be:FACT LNK woollen.clothes IPFV-GENR-say DEM
maḅ ny tuṅgar tu-kuu-ti.
 not.be:FACT LNK woollen.clothes IPFV-GENR-say
 (Woollen clothes) are either called *ty^hu* or *tuṅgar* (mbo, 40)

Second, in the case of interrogative sentences, the polar interrogative sentence final particle *çi* is employed (example (156)).

- (156) [*χsvr t^hyjco u-taḅ tu-nuu-çe*] *çi, rṅul*
 gold palanquin 3SG-on 2-AUTO-go:FACT INTRG:POLAR silver
t^hyjco u-taḅ tu-nuuçe?
 palanquin 3SG-on 2-AUTO-go:FACT
 Will you go on the gold palanquin or on the silver one? (The three sisters, 198)

6.2 Rejection

The rejection linking indicates that the event/situation in the two clauses are competing alternatives, and only one of them takes place, while the other one does not. This linking is not well represented in Japhug, and the constructions attested in this meaning are also used for the Contrast linking (5.4). We find two possibilities to express the rejection meaning.

First, the postposition *ma* ‘apart from’ can be used to express a contrast between two radically opposed alternatives. As in the case of the Contrast linking, it is not the causal linker *ma*: example (157) shows that there is no causal relationship between the two clauses. In this construction, the main clause (preceding *ma* ‘apart from’) and the subordinate clause are of opposite polarity; in general, the main clause is positive and the subordinate clause negative.

- (157) *nu-kuu-ytuw tçe, [tu-kuu-nylielie*
 PFV-GENR:S/P-meet lnk IPFV-GENR:S/P-be.frolicsome
çi] *ma tu-kuu-nuu-yndzuut*
 be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT apart.from IPFV-GENR:S/P-APPL-bark
múj-ṅgrɣl
 NEG:TESTIM-be.usually.the.case
 When it meets you (again, after several years), it jumps at you wagging its tail instead of barking at you. (Dogs, 17)

Second, semantic opposition can be expressed by using the negative copula *maβ* ‘not to be’ in one clause, and one of the affirmative copulas *ŋu* or *çti* ‘to be’ in the other one. The verbs in the clauses can either be finite or non-finite. The negative copula can be sufficient to express this meaning, as in example (158).

- (158) [*u-çki ky-çe*] *maβ* *ku*, *kuimaβ u-pçov* *jo-p^hyo*.
 3SG-DAT INF-go not.be:FACT ERG other 3SG.POSS-direction EVD-flee
 He did not go towards him, but ran in the opposite direction instead.
 (Tshobdun and Kamnyu, 14)

7. Manner

Manner linking in Japhug can be expressed by parataxis as temporal succession, addition or alternative linkings, but also allows specific constructions such as infinitival clauses or manner deixis verbs, as shown in Table 12.

Table 12. Manner linking constructions

Clause linking type	Construction
Real manner	Parataxis Infinitival SC (optionally with ergative) manner deixis verb <i>fse</i> ‘be like’ and <i>stu</i> ‘do like’ degree nominalization + ergative
Hypothetical manner	manner deixis verb <i>fse</i> ‘be like’

7.1 Real manner

In this type of clause linking, one clause describes the manner in which the action/situation of another clause takes place. There are four basic ways to express this meaning in Japhug.

First, the simplest construction to express manner is parataxis, with two verbs in the same TAM category and sharing the same arguments, as in (159)

- (159) *ju-mtsaβ vja zo ma nu ma* [*u-mi*
 IPFV-jump completely EMPH LNK DEM apart.from 3SG.POSS-foot
pju-suu-ytse] *tu-ŋke muj-ç^ha*,
 IPFV-CAUS-be.inserted[III] IPFV-walk NEG:TESTIM-can
 It only jumps, as it is not able to walk by treading with its feet. (Frogs, 4)

This construction is particularly common with the transitive verb of manner *deixis* *stu* ‘do like this’ as in (160). Note that in this example the subordinate clause is embedded within the main clause.

- (160) *tce u-jaʁ ku [ki tu-ste]*
 LNK 3SG.POSS-hand ERG DEM:PROX IPFV-do.like[III]
lu-z-naʁje juu-ŋu ri,
 IPFV-CAUS-probe TESTIM-be LNK
 (The cat) probes with its paw like that (into the hole). (Weasel, 47)

A formally similar construction appears with deideophonic verbs, as in example (161) which illustrates a verb derived from the ideophone *ɕpɣr* ‘loud noise’ (see Jacques 2013b).

- (161) [*juu-ɣv-ɕpɣrlɣr*] *juu-ruɕmi*
 TESTIM-DERIVATION-IDEO:DISORDERLY:loud.noise TESTIM-speak
 She speaks loudly (without paying attention to the situation). (elicited)

It also occurs with a specific set of verbs such as *tɕ^hom* ‘be in excess’ for instance, as an alternative to complement clauses (162).

- (162) [*nuŋa ra nuu-taʁ tu-dɣn*] *tu-tɕ^hom*
 cow pl 3PL-ON IPFV-be.many IPFV-be.in.excess
múj-pe ma
 NEG:TESTIM-be.good LNK
 It is not good when there are too many of them (ticks) on the cows,
 because... (Ticks, 30)

Sun (2012) analyzes the Tshobdun constructions corresponding to that of (161) and (162) as monoclausal serial verb constructions, since in that language no linker can be inserted between the two verbs. In Japhug, adding the linker *tce* between the two verbs is possible in the case of (160) and (161), but not in (162), which suggest that we have here several distinct underlying constructions: genuine serial verb constructions when adding a linker is not possible, and biclausal parataxis in the other cases.

Second, it is possible to use the infinitive *kv-* (for dynamic verbs) or *ku-* (for stative verbs or dynamic verbs with non-animate arguments) in the subordinate clause, to express manner as in (163) and (164).

- (163) [*kv-ŋke*] *jɣ-ari puu-ra*
 INF-walk PFV-go[II] PST.IPFV-have.to
 He had to go on foot. (elicited)

- (164) [*u-yi* *ra nuu-my-ky-suuz*] *nuu rɲul nu ɲv-mbi*.
 3SG.POSS-relative PL 3PL-NEG-INF-KNOW DEM silver DEM EVD-give
 She gave him silver without her relatives knowing. (Raven4, 161)

In the case of stative verbs, whose infinitive is in *kuu-* instead of *ky-*, there is some surface ambiguity between infinitive and S-nominalization serving as a nominal attribute. In (165) this ambiguity is resolved by the presence of the emphatic linker *zo* which rules out the alternative parsing of *kuu-duu-dyn* ‘numerous’ as the S of the sentence (in which case we would have glossed it as NMLZ:S/A-be.many).

- (165) [*kuu-duu~dyn*] *zo tutuurca tu-ɲke-nuu*
 INF:STAT-EMPH~be.many EMPH together IPFV-walk-PL
my-ɲgrvl.
 NEG-be.usually.the.case:FACT
 They don’t usually walk together in big groups. (*εϣϣα* 40)

In (166), apart from *zo*, the presence of the demonstrative *nuu* between the noun and the stative verb *kuu-qarɲurɲe* ‘yellow’ indicates that they do not form a constituent, and that *kuu-qarɲurɲe* cannot therefore be analyzed as the attribute of *nuu-qe* ‘their excrement’.

- (166) *nuu-qe* *nuu [kuu-qarɲuu~rɲe]* *zo*
 3PL.POSS-excrement DEM INF:STAT-EMPH~be.yellow EMPH
c^hu-lyt-nuu tce,
 IPFV-throw-PL LNK
 They shit yellow. (*k^huudi*, 112)

Apart from stative verbs of quantity and quality (as in (165) and (166)), many other types of verbs appear in this construction, for instance verbs expressing spatial relations and distances as in (167).

- (167) *lu-olyuu* *ɲuu-cti* *q^he, kuu-yrq^hi*
 IPFV-be.connected TESTIM-be:AFFIRM LNK INF:STAT-be.far
ju-kuu-ru *q^he u-ɲar* *ɲuu-fse*.
 IPFV-GENR:S/P-look LNK 3SG.POSS-wing TESTIM-be.like
 (The skin between its limb) is connected, and when one looks from afar, it looks like wings. (Flying fox, 134)

Third, it is possible to use the infinitive *kuu-fse* of the manner deixis stative verb *fse* ‘be like’ to mark the subordinate clause, as in (168) and (169). The verb marked by *kuu-fse* can itself be in the infinitive (170).

- (168) *murmumbju nuu [ɲuu-zyɣ-suu-yɣɣ]* *kuu-fse*
 swallow DEM IPFV-REFL-CAUS-be.slanted INF:STAT-be.like

tɕe nymk^ha zuu ku-ɕe ny juu-yi tɕe
 LNK sky LOC IPFV:EAST-go LNK IPFV:WEST-come LNK
 The swallow comes and goes flying in a slanted way in the sky. (Swallow, 38)

- (169) *βyaza tu-ndze ŋu tɕeri [ɕ-tu-mtsəβ]*
 fly IPFV-eat[III] be:FACT LNK TRANSLOC-IPFV-jump
ku-fse ɕ-ku-ndym muúj-c^ha tɕe,
 INF:STAT-be.like TRANSLOC-IPFV-catch[III] NEG:TESTIM-can LNK
 It eats flies, but it cannot catch them by jumping. (Frogs, 6)

The semantic scope of the verbal negative prefix can be on the manner rather than on the verbal action as in (170). In this construction, both the disjunct and the conjunct interpretation of negative scope are possible (unlike some languages that restrict one interpretation in some or in all constructions, see Bickel 2010: 61).

- (170) *nunu wuma zo qomdroŋ ku-fse*
 DEM really EMPH white.goose INF:STAT-be.like
 [*ku-yzurja ku-βdi*] *ku-fse*
 INF:STAT-be.lined.up INF:STAT-be.well INF:STAT-be.like
muúj-nuuqambuɓjom-nuu ri tutuurca ɕja zo
 NEG:TESTIM-fly-PL LNK together completely EMPH
juu-nuuqambuɓjom-nuu ŋu tɕe,
 TESTIM-fly-PL be:FACT LNK
 Although they do not fly in nice lines like the geese, they always fly (in groups) together. (Pigeons, 10–11)

It is possible to combine an infinitival clause with the ergative *ku*, as in (172) and (171). This construction can express a slight concessive meaning as in (171) ('without turning it off' = although he should have turned it off').

- (171) *tɕe u-ŋgu nu tɕu paɓndza ɲy-raβ tɕe, tɕendyre*
 LNK 3SG-inside DEM LOC pig.fodder EVD-be.stuck LNK LNK
 [<dian> <guan> *my-ky-βzu*] *ku my-ky-pa ku*
 electricity turn.off NEG-INF-make ERG NEG-INF-close ERG
u-jaβ lo-tsum
 3SG.POSS-hand EVD:UPSTREAM-take.away
 Some pig fodder got stuck inside (the machine) he reached his hand into it without turning it off, (Relatives, 372–3)

Alternatively, the infinitival clause with the ergative can be semantically intermediate between a manner and a purposive clause, as in (172).

- (172) *tuu-xtsa nuunu u-βzuɲy*
 INDEF.POSS-shoe DEM 3SG.POSS-shape

[*muu-nuu-kuu-njuur*] *kuu*
 NEG-IPFV-INF:NON.HUM-ANTICAUS:change ERG
nuu-z-rysta-nuu
 IPFV-CAUS-be.fixed
 They wedge the shoes (with a shoe tree) in such a way that their shape does not change. (Red leather, 109)

Fourth, in the case of stative verbs, the degree nominalization *tuu-* can be combined with a clause describing the degree, circumstance or consequence of the state in question. The ergative *kuu* can be inserted between the stative verb and the degree clause; its presence is optional when the degree clause is short, but obligatory in the case of long clauses, as in (173) and (174).

(173) *a-puu-kuu-suu-jicyr* *q^he* [*uu-tuu-rzi*]
 IRR-IPFV-GENR:S/P-CAUS-press LNK 3SG-NMLZ:DEGREE-heavy
kuu tce nuu ky-jov muj-kuu-c^ha
 ERG LNK DEM INF-lift neg:TESTIM-GENR:S/P-can
 If (an elephant) presses one (with one of its feet), it is so heavy that one cannot free oneself. (Elephant, 39–40)

(174) *lulu a-puu-me rcanuu, βzuu uu-k^ha tce*
 cat IRR-IPFV-not.exist TOP:EMPH mouse 3SG.POSS-house LNK
 [*uu-tuu-ruuŋuŋyŋ*] *kuu ty-m^huum*
 3SG-NMLZ:DEGREE-cause.damage ERG INDEF.POSS-meat
tu-ndze, tumgo tu-ndze, tuiju tu-ndze, tce
 IPFV-eat[III] food IPFV-eat[III] food IPFV-eat[III] LNK
uu-my-ky-ndza ra kuuny ty-fkuum nuu ra ku-suuspoβ
 3SG-NEG-NMLZ:P-eat PL also INDEF.POSS-bag DEM PL IPFV-make.a.hole
 If there is no cat, mice cause a lot of damage in the house as they eat meat and food, and even the things that they cannot eat, (like bags), they make holes in them. (Cat, 27–29)

The ergative is also used in clause linkings involving the verb *fse* ‘be like’ in the subordinate clause, as in (175).

(175) *ri* [*uu-jwab nuunu kuutav cy nuu ra*
 LNK 3SG.POSS-leaf DEM other juniper DEM PL
muj-fse] *kuu nuu-γvɨrɨvi zo q^he*
 NEG:TESTIM-be.like ERG TESTIM-be.wrinkled EMPH LNK
juu-ynduundo zo.
 TESTIM-be.clustered.together EMPH
 Its leaves differ from other junipers in that they are wrinkled and clustered together. (Ephedra, 71)

7.2 Hypothetical manner

The hypothetical manner linking differs from the real manner linking in that the subordinate clause does not describe the actual manner of the action / situation, but compares it to a similar event.

There is no specific construction in Japhug for expressing this meaning. Examples of Hypothetical Manner linkings in our data all use constructions involving the verb *fse* ‘be like’ as a main verb and a nominalized relative clause.

- (176) *nyzo ki jamar tce, ny-mtɕʰi ly-ky-sti*
 2SG DEM:PROX about LNK 2SG.POSS-mouth PFV-NMLZ:P-plug
ɲuu-tuu-fse cti
 TESTIM-2-be.like be.AFFIRMATIVE:FACT
 You look like your mouth has been plugged. (conversation 2002, 81)

- (177) *uu-skyt uu-tuu-wxti kuu maka mbyuurloɕ*
 3SG.POSS-voice 3SG-NMLZ:DEGREE-be.big ERG at.all thunder
ty-ky-βzu zo ɲjɻ-fse.
 PFV-NMLZ:P-make EMPH EVD.IPFV-be.like
 Its sound was as loud as thunder. (Daihao)

- (178) *nunuu ty-mɲym qʰe, tʰuɕi tumnnu*
 DEM PFV-hurt LNK something awl
ky-ky-suu-ɣtsa zo ɲuu-fse
 PFV-NMLZ:P-CAUS-be.inserted EMPH TESTIM-be.like
 When it hurts, it feels like an awl has been planted (in one’s lungs). (Lung disease, 8)

8. Conclusion

This article is the first step towards a description of clause linking in Japhug. Further research is particularly needed on the issue of syntactic pivots and cataphora in clause linking. At the present stage of our research, we have not been able to detect any strict syntactic pivot, either accusative or ergative, in the constructions studied in the present work. Such research proved difficult in the case of Japhug, as the grammaticality judgments offered by our consultants on constructions not attested in the corpus are often inconsistent.

Japhug clause linking is uncommon in the context of verb final languages of Eurasia. While several converbial constructions are attested (immediate precedence, gerund, purposive and infinitive), none of them is required to express a

particular meaning, as in each of the four cases a semantically similar competing finite construction is available.

Japhug has a strong distinction between finite and non-finite verb forms, but non-finite forms are essentially used for relativization and complementation, not for clause linking. Chains of clauses in non-finite forms, which are common in languages such as Classical Tibetan or Turkic, are completely absent. This is due to the fact that converbs in Japhug are restricted to relatively less common constructions, and are not found for expressing Temporal sequence, Consequence or Condition linkings. There is no converb marking switch reference either; finite forms with inverse marking are used instead for that purpose (see Jacques 2010).

The most common type of clause linking in Japhug involves finite clauses with a linker (or a postposition / relator noun between them). Parataxis is rare, but available for expressing Temporal or Manner linkings. It appears that cases of parataxis require distinct analyses depending on the construction: some of them may be cases of serial verb constructions

A typological feature distinguishing Japhug from most Sino-Tibetan languages is the fact that some clause linking constructions require a subordinate or a main clause in a particular finite TAM form. In particular, the temporal precedence linking (3.2.3) requires a verb in the imperfective form in the subordinate clause regardless of the TAM marking of the main clause, and several types of conditional (including counterfactual, scalar concessive and alternative concessive) requires the past imperfective.

References

- Aikhenvald, Alexandra. 2008. Semi-direct speech: Manambu and beyond. *Language sciences* 30:383–422. DOI: 10.1016/j.langsci.2007.07.009
- Bickel, Balthasar. 2010. Capturing particulars and universals in clause linkage: A multivariate analysis. In Bril (2010a): 51–104.
- Bril, Isabelle. 2010a. *Clause Linking and Clause Hierarchy: Syntax and pragmatics*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. DOI: 10.1075/slcs.121
- Bril, Isabelle. 2010b. Informational and referential hierarchy: Clause-linking strategies in Austronesian-Oceanic languages. In Bril (2010a): 269–312.
- Coupe, Alec R. 2007. *A Grammar of Mongsen Ao*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- DeLancey, Scott. 1997. Grammaticalization and the gradience of categories: Relator nouns and postpositions in Tibetan and Burmese. In *Essays on Language Function and Language Type*, ed. Joan Bybee, John Haiman, and Sandra A. Thompson: 51–69. Amsterdam: Benjamins. DOI: 10.1075/z.82.07del
- Dixon, R.M.W. 2009. The Semantics of Clause Linking in Typological Perspective. In Dixon and Aikhenvald (2009).

- Dixon, R.M.W., and Alexandra Aikhenvald. 2009. *The Semantics of Clause Linking: A Cross-Linguistic Typology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Epps, Patience. 2009. Escape from the noun phrase: From relative clause to converb and beyond in an Amazonian language. *Diachronica* 26.3:287–318. DOI: 10.1075/dia.26.3.01epp
- Genetti, Carol. 1988. A syntactic correlate of topicality in Newari narrative. In *Clause combining in grammar and discourse*, ed. John Haiman and Sandra A. Thompson: 29–48. Amsterdam: Benjamins. DOI: 10.1075/tsl.18.04gen
- Gong, Xun. 2014. Personal agreement system of Zbu rGyalrong (Ngyaltsu variety). *Transactions of the Philological Society* 112.1:44–60. DOI: 10.1111/1467-968X.12007
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2004. Phonologie et morphologie du japhug (Rgyalrong). Doctoral Dissertation: Université Paris VII - Denis Diderot.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2008. *Jiarongyu yanjiu* 嘉絨語研究 (Study on the Rgyalrong language). Beijing: Minzu chubanshe.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2010. The Inverse in Japhug Rgyalrong. *Language and Linguistics* 11.1:127–157.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2012. From denominal derivation to incorporation. *Lingua* 122.11:1207–1231. DOI: 10.1016/j.lingua.2012.05.010
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2013a. Harmonization and disharmonization of affix ordering and basic word order. *Linguistic Typology* 17.2:187–217. DOI: 10.1515/lity-2013-0009
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2013b. Ideophones in Japhug Rgyalrong. *Anthropological Linguistics* 55.3: 256–287. DOI: 10.1353/anl.2013.0014
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2014. Denominal affixes as sources of antipassive markers in Japhug Rgyalrong. *Lingua* 138:1–22. DOI: 10.1016/j.lingua.2013.09.011
- Jacques, Guillaume. under review. Relativization in Japhug Rgyalrong.
- Jacques, Guillaume, and Zhen Chen. 2007. 茶堡话的不及物前缀及相关问题. *Language and Linguistics* 8.4:883–912.
- Lin, Youjing. 2003. Tense and aspect morphology in the Zhuokeji rGyalrong verb. *Cahiers de linguistique - Asie orientale* 32.2:245–286. DOI: 10.3406/clao.2003.1633
- Lin, Youjing. 2011. Perfective and imperfective from the same source: directional “down” in rGyalrong. *Diachronica* 28.1:54–81. DOI: 10.1075/dia.28.1.03lin
- Matthews, Stephen, and Virginia Yip. 1994. *Cantonese: A Comprehensive Grammar*. London: Routledge.
- Overall, Simon. 2009. The Semantics of Clause Linking in Aguaruna. In Dixon and Aikhenvald (2009): 167–192.
- Paris, Marie-claude. 1981. *Problèmes de syntaxe et de sémantique en linguistique chinoise*. Paris: Collège de France.
- Post, Mark. 2009. The Semantics of Clause Linking in Galo. In Dixon and Aikhenvald (2009): 74–95.
- Sapir, Edward. 1921. *Language. An Introduction to the study of Speech*. New York: Harcourt Brace & Company.
- Sun, Jackson T.-S. 2000. Stem Alternations in Puxi Verb Inflection: Toward Validating the rGyalrongic Subgroup in Qiangic. *Language and Linguistics* 1.2:211–232.
- Sun, Jackson T.-S. 2012. Complementation in Caodeng rGyalrong. *Language and Linguistics* 13.3:471–498.

- Tournadre, Nicolas. 2008. Arguments against the Concept of ‘Conjunct’ / ‘Disjunct’ in Tibetan. In *Festschrift für Roland Bielmeier zu seinem 65. Geburtstag*: 281–308. Halle: International Institute for Tibetan and Buddhist Studies.
- Valentine, Randolph. 2009. The semantics of clause linking in Ojibwe. In Dixon and Aikhenvald (2009): 193–217.
- de Vries, Lourens. 2005. Towards a typology of tail-head linkage in Papuan languages. *Studies in Language* 29:2:363–384. DOI: 10.1075/sl.29.2.04vri
- Watters, David E. 2009. The Semantics of Clause Linking in Kham. In Dixon and Aikhenvald (2009): 96–117.
- Zhang, Sihong. 2013. *A reference grammar of Ersu: a Tibeto-Burman language of China*. Doctoral Dissertation: Cairns: James Cook University.

Author’s address

Guillaume Jacques
Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales
131 Boulevard Saint-Michel
Paris
France
rgyalrongskad@gmail.com