

On prefixation in Modern Chinese

Giorgio Francesco ARCODIA (Ca' Foscari University of Venice)

In the literature on Chinese word formation, the (possible) distinction between the processes of ‘derivation’ and ‘compounding’ is still an unresolved issue (see e.g. Pan, Yip & Han 2004; Dong 2005; Arcodia 2012). Word-formation elements which display high productivity and always appear in a fixed position with respect to the base word (in a particular usage), such as 学 *xué* ‘branch of knowledge’ (as in 心理学 *xīnlǐxué* ‘psychology’) have been analyzed as affixes (e.g. Yip 2000), as ‘affixoids’ (类词缀 *lèicízhuì*; Ma 1995) or just as compound constituents (Dong 2004). Also, it is often claimed that many proposed affixes of Modern Chinese, as e.g. -化 *huà* ‘-ise, -ify’, developed following a foreign model: specifically, it has been suggested that they entered the Chinese lexicon as constituents in complex words coined in Japan, which in turn followed European models (Masini 1993). In the case of possible prefixes, which received less attention than suffixes in the literature (see Arcodia 2012), it has even been claimed that they all (or virtually all) derive from a foreign (usually, English or Japanese) model (Jia 2019). However, this largely depends on the definition of prefix(oid) which one chooses to adopt: for instance, Zhao (2018) proposes a number of prefixoids for which a foreign origin seems unlikely, as e.g. 被 *bèi* for ‘being X-ed falsely or against one’s will’ (as in 被就业 *bèi-jùè-yè* ‘PASS-get-job’, ‘being counted as employed while unemployed’, or also ‘being forced to take up a job’).

In this paper, we propose a reassessment of prefixation in Modern Chinese. Following Arcodia (2012), we discard the ‘prefix’ vs. ‘prefixoid’ distinction, since grammaticalized morphemes in Chinese (as well as in most languages of the Mainland East- and Southeast Asian area; Bisang 1996) very often do not show the formal correlates of grammaticalization (i.e. ‘secondary grammaticalization’ in the sense of Traugott 2002). In the framework of Construction Morphology (Booij 2010), we treat potential prefixoids as fixed slots in a construction. In this analysis, the main difference between affixes/affixoids and regular compound constituents lies in their fixed position, their stable selectional properties and, above all, in the fixed, conventionalized meaning they contribute, as opposed to the more ‘open’ interpretation for compound constituents (Scalise, Bissetto & Guevara 2005). The sample items we chose for our analysis are drawn from a selection of the literature on the topic (see the Appendix below); following Basciano & Bareato (2020), we shall rely on web corpora (as e.g. the BCC Corpus and the Leiden Weibo Corpus) for the analysis of the use of complex words.

We will show that potential prefixes in Chinese have different properties: there are class-maintaining prefixes (as 前 *qián*- ‘former’), class-changing prefixes (as 多 *duō*- ‘multi-’), as well as prefixes with ambiguous properties with respect to word-class assignment (as 非 *fēi*- ‘non-’). We will compare ‘native’ patterns and patterns which seem to follow a foreign model, showing that they do not constitute coherent subsets in terms of their behaviour. We will argue that the differences between prefixes and suffixes in Chinese (see Jia 2019) may be partly explained by the different role of lefthand constituents and righthand constituents in compounding (unlike e.g. Romance languages). However, as conventionalised constructions used for word formation, prefixation patterns also have properties which do not fit in the general picture of headedness and word-class assignment in the morphology (and syntax) of Modern Chinese: above all, the fact that the word class of ‘prefixed’ words is often inconsistent with that of the corresponding base (non-prefixed) word, as e.g. 贸易 *màoyì* ‘commerce’ > 非贸易 *fēi-màoyì* ‘non-commercial’, but both endocentric nouns and adjectives are generally right-headed in Chinese (Ceccagno & Basciano 2007). We will argue that this is a major difference between prefixed and suffixed items in Chinese, since the latter always seem to define the word class of the complex word; also, it can be taken as an argument in favour of analysing prefixes as a separate morphological phenomenon, distinct from suffixation and from compounding.

Appendix: list of prefixes considered in the present study

非 *fēi*- ‘non-’; 多 *duō*- ‘multi-’; 高 *gāo*- ‘high-’; 低 *dī*- ‘low’; 零 *líng* ‘zero’; 无 *wú* ‘without, -free, -less’; 半 *bàn* ‘half, semi-’; 准 *zhǔn* ‘quasi-’; 类 *lèi* ‘pseudo-’; 超 *chāo* ‘super’; 反 *fǎn* ‘anti-’; 软 *ruǎn* ‘soft’; 轻 *qīng* ‘light’; 被 *bèi*- ‘forcedly, falsely’; 裸 *luǒ* ‘naked, unprepared’; 前 *qián*- ‘former’; 闪 *shǎn* ‘rapidly, unexpectedly’; 可 *kě*- ‘-able’; 好 *hǎo*- ‘easy / pleasant to’; 难 *nán* ‘difficult / unpleasant to’.

Keywords: Chinese, Mandarin, morphology, derivation, prefixation

Cited works

- Arcodia, Giorgio Francesco (2012). *Lexical Derivation in Mandarin Chinese*. Taipei: Crane.
- Basciano, Bianca, Bareato, Sofia (2020). Chinese Affixes in the Internet Era: A Corpus-Based Study of X-族 *zú*, X-党 *dǎng* and X-客 *kè* Neologisms. In Basciano, Bianca, Gatti, Franco & Morbiato, Anna (eds.), *Corpus-Based Research on Chinese Language and Linguistics*. Venezia: Edizioni Ca' Foscari, 237-279.
- Bisang, Walter (1996). Areal typology and grammaticalization: processes of grammaticalization based on nouns and verbs in East and mainland South East Asian languages. *Studies in Language* 20:3, 519-597.
- Booij, Geert (2010). *Construction Morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ceccagno, Antonella, & Basciano, Bianca (2007). Compound headedness in Chinese: an analysis of neologisms. *Morphology* 17, 207-231.
- Dong, Xiufang (2004). 汉语的词库与词. Pechino> Beijing Daxue Chubanshe.
- Dong, Xiufang (2005). 汉语词缀的性质与汉语词法特点. *Hanyu Xuexi* 6, 13-19.
- Jia, Zelin (2019). 汉语类前缀和类后缀的不对称性分析. *Hanzi Wenhua* 241, 66-69.
- Ma, Qingzhu (1995). 现代汉语词缀的性质, 范围和分类. *Zhongguo Yuyanxuebao* 6, 101-137.
- Masini, Federico (1993). *The Formation of Modern Chinese Lexicon and Its Evolution Toward a National Language: The Period from 1840 to 1898*. Berkeley: Project on Linguistic Analysis.
- Pan, Wenguo, Po-Ching Yip & Yang Han (2004). 汉语的构词法研究. Shanghai: Huadong Shifan Daxue Chubanshe.
- Scalise, S., A. Bisetto and E. Guevara (2005). Selection in Compounding and Derivation. In W. Dressler, et al. (Eds.). *Morphology and its Demarcations*. Amsterdam-Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 133-150.
- Traugott, Elizabeth C. (2002). From etymology to historical pragmatics. In Minkova, Donka & Stockwell, Robert (a cura di), *Studies in the history of the English language*. Berlin-New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 19-49.
- Yip, Po-Ching (2000). *The Chinese Lexicon: A Comprehensive Survey*. London: Routledge.
- Zhao, Chen (2018). 汉语类前缀类义指示作用与对外汉语类前缀词表设计——以新兴类前缀为例. *Changjiang Congkan* 4, 88-89.