## Decomposing Existence: Evidence from Mandarin Chinese

## Chang Liu Université de Picardie Jules Verne/Université Paris 8 changliu1120@gmail.com

Developing the observations in Huang (1987) and Li (1996), we distinguish three types of Existential Sentences (ESs) based on  $y\delta u$  'have' in Mandarin Chinese. ES1 (1) and ES2 (2) occur in root contexts, whereas ES3 (3) occurs in certain embedded contexts such as in  $rúgu\delta$  'if'-clauses. The noun phrase following  $y\delta u$  'have' is referred to as PIVOT, while the sequence following the pivot nominal as in (1b) and (3) is referred to as CODA.

(1)	a.	yǒu [ <i>pivot</i> rén]. have person 'There are persons (here).'	ES1			
	b.	yǒu [ <i>pivot</i> xuéshēng] [ <i>coda</i> Zhāngsān hĕn xǐhuān ]. have student Zhangsan very like 'There are students that Zhangsan likes.'				
(2)		huìyì-shàng yŏu-zhe [ <i>pivot</i> měi-ge lǐngyù de yīshēng] convention-on have-DUR every-CLF field DE doctor 'There was every field of doctor at the convention.'	ES2			
(3)		rúguð yðu-le [ <i>pivot</i> Zhāngsān] [ <i>coda</i> zhàogù Lǐsì], tā yídìng mǎshàng if have-PERF Zhangsan care Lisi he certainly mǎshàng kěyǐ fùyuán. immediately can recover. 'If there is Zhangsan taking care of Lisi, he can certainly recover immediately.'	ES3			

We observe that they differ in the following four properties: (i) ES1 and ES3 do not have an overt subject preceding  $y\delta u$  'have', whereas ES2 has an overt localiser phrase *huìyì-shàng* 'convention on' as subject; (ii)  $y\delta u$  'have' can be suffixed with an aspectual marker in ES2 and ES3, not in ES1; (iii) the pivot nominal, which follows  $y\delta u$  'have', must be indefinite in ES1 (1), whereas it can be a strongly quantified phrase ranging over properties in ES2 (cf. 'every field of ...' in (2), cf. Lumsden 1988, McNally 1998 for observations in English, Zamparelli 1995 for Italian), and can be a definite proper name in ES3 (3); (iv) as in (5), the obligatory presence of  $rúgu\delta$  'if' and suīrán 'though' to form an Ontological ES indicates that ES3 in embedded contexts can from an ontological existential (Milsark 1974), whereas ES1 in root contexts cannot do so. The observations are resumed in (4).

(4)					ES1	ES2	ES3
	i)	Localiser phi	ase		no	yes	no
	ii)	Aspectual ma	arkers		no	yes	yes
	iii)	Property-denoting pivot(+coda)			no	yes	yes
	iv)	Form an Ont	ological	ES	no	yes	yes
(5)	a.	*(rúguŏ) if 'If there is (o	yŏu have one) god,	(yí-ge) one-CLF	shàndì, god		

b.	*(suīrán)	méi	yŏu	liù-tiáo tuĭ de māo,	
	although	NEG	have	six-CLF leg DE cat	
	'Although the	ere isn't	any six	-legged cats,'	Ontological ESs (cf. Milsark 1974)

We argue that (i)  $y \delta u$  'have' can be merged under an Aspect head in ES1, or under V in ES2 and ES3 (*pace* Li 1996); (ii) in contrast with an overt localiser phrase in ES2, the subject of ES1 is a covert counterpart of English *it*, which can arguably encode the meaning of 'here or now' (cf. Carlson 1977) whereas the subject of ES3 is a covert counterpart of English *there*; (iii) with a coda,  $y \delta u$  'have' takes a CP as complement in which the pivot moves to Spec,CP (island effects, reconstruction for Condition C effect) in root contexts, while  $y \delta u$  'have' takes a VoiceP as complement in certain embedded contexts; (iv) while the complement of  $y \delta u$  'have' is interpreted as entities in ES1, the complement of  $y \delta u$  'have' is interpreted as property/kind in ES2 and ES3.

This study based on the data of Mandarin Chinese implicates that languages can build up existential constructions via different syntactic strategies made available by the grammar, and the existential semantics can be achieved by means of different compositional paths, cf. McNally (2016).

Keywords: Existential Sentences, Definiteness Restriction, Mandarin Chinese

## Selected references :

Huang, C.-T. James. 1987. Existential Sentences in Chinese and (In)definiteness. in *The Representation of (In)definiteness* edited by Eric Reuland and Alice ter Meulen, 226–253. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Li, Y.-H. Audrey. 1996. Definite and indefinite existential constructions. *Studies in the Linguistic Sciences*, 26, 175-191.

Lumsden, Michael. 1988. Existential Sentences: Their Structure and Meaning. London: Croom Helm.

McNally, Louise. 1998. Existential sentences without existential quantification. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 21. 353–392. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005389330615

McNally, Louise. 2016. Existential sentences cross-linguistically: Variations in form and meaning. *Linguist* 2: 1 -20.

Milsark, Gary. L. 1974. Existential Sentences in English. PhD. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Zamparelli, Roberto. 1995. Layers in the Determiner Phrase. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Rochester.